SPECIAL PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT

Progress Reports should be 2 to 10 pages in length, depending on importance of the project. All the following mandatory information needs to be provided.

Reporting year	2014
Project Title:	Atmospheric Boundary Layer processes in Complex Terrain
Computer Project Account:	SPESTURB
Principal Investigator(s):	JOAN CUXART RODAMILANS
Affiliation:	UNIVERSITAT DE LES ILLES BALEARS
Name of ECMWF scientist(s) collaborating to the project (if applicable)	
Start date of the project:	1 st January 2012
Expected end date:	31 st December 2014

Computer resources allocated/used for the current year and the previous one (if applicable)

Please answer for all project resources

		Previou	s year	Current year		
		Allocated	Used	Allocated	Used	
High Performance Computing Facility	(units)	150000	150000	150000	32546.83	
Data storage capacity	(Gbytes)	200	200	200	100	

Summary of project objectives

(10 lines max)

The main aims of this project are to understand and characterize the circulations within the Atmospheric Boundary Layer in complex terrain through the combined use of experimental data and high-resolution numerical simulations. The main topographical features of a region are key to determine the local circulations, and their effects are predominant under weak general pressure gradients. We have chosen areas in Western Europe with well-defined orographic forcings, such as the northern side of the Pyrenees, the Reuss valley in Switzerland or the Mallorca Island. These locations have experimental data of good quality, allowing to study the effects of terrain heterogeneity in a 24-hour cycle, with a special focus on the nocturnal part and the evening and morning transitions. Simulations at very high vertical resolution and with nested domains with horizontal resolutions from the kilometric to the decametric scales are performed on the ECMWF computing system.

Summary of problems encountered (if any)

(20 lines max)

Summary of results of the current year (from July of previous year to June of current year)

This section should comprise 1 to 8 pages and can be replaced by a short summary plus an existing scientific report on the project

This project is the continuation of a former one devoted to the study of the stably stratified boundary layer (SPESTURB, 2002-2011) which gradually evolved into the study of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer (ABL) over complex terrain in weak general pressure gradients, allowing to inspect the effect of the terrain-induced flows over the ABL characteristics.

That project had a first part, when Large-Eddy Simulations of the idealized Stably stratified ABL were performed with the Meso-NH model (Lafore et al., 1998), first analysing some characteristics of the modelled regime, such as anisotropy and intermittency (Jiménez and Cuxart, 2005), and then forcing the regime with a Low-Level Jet (LLJ) such as the one observed in the Duero valley (Cuxart and Jiménez, 2007), which proved that the presence of a LLJ changes completely the behaviour of the nocturnal ABL, leading to weaker stratification. Some of these findings were shared in the frame of the GABLS community (Beare et al., 2006).

The second part of the project was mostly devoted to understand the formation and evolution of the LLJs in complex terrain, choosing areas with good data and relatively simple geometry. Analysis of the mesoscale flow organization and cold pool formation was made for the Duero basin in winter, where the CIBA experimental site is located (Bravo et al., 2008; Martínez et al., 2010; Jiménez and Cuxart, 2012). In Mallorca, the interaction of sea and land breezes and topography is very important and the time-space evolution of the nocturnal flows was inspected (Cuxart et al., 2007; Martínez and Cuxart, 2007; Cuxart, 2008; Martínez and Cuxart, 2009), also with the development of verification tools based on satellite images (Jiménez et al., 2008).

More recently, in the Ebro basin, we analysed the role of narrow valleys and extensive mountain walls in the generation of within-basin circulations, with special attention to the evolution of basinwide radiation fog and the role of large irrigated areas (Cuxart and Jiménez, 2012), also with the extensive use of satellite imagery and instrumental data (Martínez et al, 2008; Cuxart et al., 2012). Since 2011 we have devoted our efforts in the understanding of the interaction of the Pyrenees and the Garonne basin in the frame of the BLLAST cooperative effort (Jimenez and Cuxart, 2014; Lothon et al., 2014). In all of these cases, the presence of a LLJ enhances turbulent mixing and limits (in the model) the formation of a very strong nocturnal inversion.

From the beginning of this new project, in 2012, we are concentrating our efforts in four main lines: i) interaction mountain range and plain in the Pyrenees; ii) sea and land breezes in Mallorca; iii) strong cooling inversion in Mallorca and Lleida; iv) 24-h cycle in the Swiss Reuss valley and v) surface energy budget studies.

1) Topographically generated winds in the northern central Pyrenees

In this area we want to explore the 24-h cycle of mountain and valley winds adjacent to a large plain, taking advantage of an important experimental campaign in June and July 2011. The Boundary Layer Late Afternoon and Sunset Transition (BLLAST) project organized an experimental field campaign in Lannemezan (over a plateau to the north of the central Pyrenees). A large number of scientific teams gathered experimental data that can now be used to check the goodness of our methodology already tried in 2011. The description of this campaign is found in Lothon et al. (2014).

In order to prepare the experimental field campaign, a numerical study of the downslope winds in the central Pyrenees was performed (Jiménez and Cuxart, 2014) to identify the spatial and temporal scales of the downslope and down-valley winds present in the Lannemezan area.

For an IOP event during the BLLAST campaign that lasted four days (from 29th June 2011 at 0000 UTC to 2nd July 2011 at 0000 UTC) a mesoscale simulation with 3 nested domains (at horizontal resolutions of 2km, 400m and 80m, centered in Lannemezan, see Fig. 1.1) has been made. It rained during the first 2 days and clear skies and weak pressure gradient conditions were observed during the last two days. The 1st July 2011 is taken to analyse the topographically generated winds (upslope during the day and downslope during night) in the area of Lannemezan.

With the data from the 2011 experimental field campaign it is possible to check how realistic the model results are. Figures 1.2 and 1.3 shows that downslope and down-valley winds are generated during the night for any model resolution, although there are differences in the wind direction at lower levels (for instance at 50m a.g.l., as it is seen in Figures 1.2 and 1.3), being the 400m resolution run closer to the observations. When the model outputs at 400m and 80m resolutions (Fig. 1.3) are compared, it is found that there are not substantial differences between them. For both cases, the down-valley winds generated in the Aure valley (at the south of Lannemezan) reach Lannemezan after the sunset and the wind follows the local orography over the plateau.

The time series of the vertical profiles for the wind speed and the temperature for the 3 model resolutions is shown in Figures 1.4 and 1.5, respectively. In all the 3 domains, there is a maximum of wind speed at lower levels (around 30 m, a.g.l. at 2300-0000 UTC and around 100 m a.g.l. at 0300-0500 UTC). The earliest one is stronger for the 400 m resolution domain whereas the latest one is stronger in the 80 m resolution run (D3). Thus, there is more shear and the mixing makes that the cooling of the surface layer is reduced, although this cooling is more concentrated at lower levels in the 400m/80m runs than for the coarser resolution. In Figures 1.4 and 1.5 are also included the time results of another measurement site about 1km away from Lannemezan. From the 80m resolution run these sites have slightly different characteristics (higher wind speed maximum and higher cooling in the surface layer) in comparison to the Lannemezan site, which are not distinguishable in the 2km run.

The comparison of the model outputs against the available observations is a work still in progress. In Fig. 1.6 the model results are compared to sounding measurements at 2300 UTC. At lower levels (about 30m, a.g.l.), there is a maximum of wind speed from the south, indicating that the down-valley winds generated in the Aure valley reach Lannemenzan. Close to the surface, the temperature for the 80m resolution run is closer to the observations than for the rest of the domains.

A preliminary comparison between the 3 model resolutions indicates that the simulation at 400m resolution is properly capturing the downslope and down-valley winds that reach Lannemezan (compromise between computational resources and results closer to the observations). This is still work in progress and we are now validating these model outputs with data from the experimental field campaign.

It is important to mention that the inner domain at 80m is computationally very expensive. We only run it for short periods that are close to stationarity. With the support of the computing units from AEMET the simulation with the 3 domains is run from 2300 UTC to 1000 UTC of the next day, when the turning from downslope to upslope winds is completed. To have a good representation of the surface characteristics at high resolution, we have built higher resolution topography from the *srtm* database at 90m (<u>http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org</u>). However, other terrain characteristics are not available at a resolution higher than 1 km and we are currently inspecting the impact of these surface features (see section 5).

(a) Domain 1 (2km x 2km resolution)



(c) Domain 3 (80m x 80m resolution)



(b) Domain 2 (400m x 400m resolution)



⁵⁰⁰Figure 1.1. Topography of the 3 nested domains of the 450 simulation of an IOP during the BLLAST field campaign: (a) Domain 1 at 2km x 2km resolution (**D1**); (b) Domain 2 at 400m x 400m resolution (D2) and (c) Domain 3 at 80m x 150 80m resolution (**D3**). In (c) the location of Lannemezan is ³⁰⁰indicated with a blue cross.





Figure 1.2. Wind vectors at 50 m (a.g.l.) at 2330 UTC on July 1st, 2011 for (a) 2km resolution domain (D1) with a zoom over the domain 2 area and (b) 400m resolution domain (D2).



Figure 1.3. Wind vectors at 50 m (a.g.l.) at 2330 UTC on July 1^{st} , 2011 for (a) 400m resolution domain (**D2**) with a zoom over the domain 3 area and (b) 80m resolution domain (**D3**).



Figure 1.4. Time series of the wind speed vertical profiles obtained by the 3 model domains in Lannemezan. The same in (d) but for a measurement site 2km away from Lannemezan in the southern direction.



Figure 1.5. The same as Figure 1.4 but for the temperature.



Figure 1.6. Vertical profiles obtained by the 3 model domains in Lannemezan at 2330 UTC. The observed sounding at the same time (in purple) is included.

Another IOP from the BLLAST experimental field campaign has been considered to evaluate the performance of different models (Arome, Arpege, WRF and MesoNH). We have participated with the MesoNH model. The setup is similar to the previous simulations described for this area. The horizontal resolution is 3 km x 3 km and the vertical 10 m close to the surface and stretched above. Different initial and boundary conditions are evaluated as well as different parameterizations. The preliminary results are shown in Figure 1.7. Although there is a large spread in the modelled latent and sensible heat fluxes the observations have a similar pattern. The MesoNH model is able to reproduce the boundary layer height and the temperature profile observed from the sounding.



Figure 1.7. Time series for (a) H and (b) LE observed (mean value and standard deviation in grey) and obtained from the different models that participate in the intercomparison. Vertical profiles of the potential temperature at (c) 1300 UTC and (d) 1800 UTC. The observed soundings are also included (dashed lines).

2) Characterization of the land- and sea-breezes in Mallorca.

Mallorca is where we study the time-space evolution of the land- and sea-breezes in a basin. The Campos basin is taken (see Fig. 2.1) where it is planned a series of experimental field campaigns (field site indicated with a red dot in Fig. 2.1). The first one was in September 2013 (Mallorca Sea Breeze, MSB13) and the second one has just finished (June 2014, MSB14). It is expected a third one during summer 2015. Currently we are focussing in the sea-breeze part (Cuxart et al., 2014). Climatologically, the sea-breeze is observed by the surface stations of AEMET about 50% of the days of the year. Between April and October, if the temperature difference between sea and land is strong enough, and under weak pressure-gradient conditions, the sea-breeze is formed. The duration and intensity of the sea-breeze will depend on the temperature difference between land and sea, among other factors.

In order to start characterizing the sea-breeze, a mesoscale simulation has been performed over the island of Mallorca, with a setup similar to the one described in Cuxart et al., (2007). Two nested domains are taken. The outer one covers the Balearic Islands at 5km resolution and the inner one is centered in Majorca (see Fig. 2.1). Clear-skies and weak synoptic pressure gradient conditions are taken (simulated period from 4th June 2010 at 1200 UTC to 6th June 2010 at 0000 UTC).

Fig. 2.2 shows that during the night downslope winds are generated in the three main basins and the wind flows out from the mountains to the sea, following the terrain. During the day, the wind has an opposite direction and it flows from the sea to the land, through the three main basins. The complete analysis of the sea-breeze in Campos is found in Cuxart et al. (2013). From the model outputs it is seen that the sea breeze has 5 phases: (previous) 0430-0730 UTC, (preparatory) 0730-0900 UTC, (initial) 0900-1200 UTC, (mature) 1200-1500 UTC (decaying) from 1600 UTC on. The model shows that the sea-breeze is completely gone at around 2100 UTC when the direction at lower levels starts to be from the N, corresponding to the nocturnal downslope winds.





Figure 2.2. Wind vectors at 10m (a.g.l.) at (a) 0500 UTC on 5th June 2010 and at (b) 1200 UTC.



Figure 2.3. Time series of the vertical profiles obtained from the model in the location indicated with a red dot in Fig. 2.1 (where the experimental field campaigns will be performed).

The time evolution of some vertical profiles obtained from the model outputs are shown in Figure 2.3. When the sea-breeze is set, there is a layer of about 700m (a.g.l.) with wind direction from SW, the maximum intensity is around 100-200 m (a.g.l.) and the temperature profile corresponds to a well-mixed Convective Boundary Layer. TKE is large, mainly related to the strong updraft/downdrafts.



Figure 2.4. Temperature and wind speed budgets in the Campos basin (see location in the red point in Fig. 2.1). (a) and (d) Vertical profiles of the averaged budgets (from 1400 to 1500 UTC, mature phase). (b) and (e) Time series of the budgets at 10 m (a.g.l.). (c) and (f) Spatial evolution of the averaged terms (1400-1500 UTC) along the green line in Fig 2.1, together with the topography.

From the budget analysis it is found that the turbulence is the main warming mechanism whereas the cooling is mainly controlled by the advection (Fig. 2.4a and 2.4d). The vertical advection term is compensated by the horizontal one in the Budget of V (Fig. 2.4d). Thus, the total advection term in the budget is small in comparison to the turbulence or pressure. The largest contribution of the terms happens during the mature phase of the breeze (Fig. 2.4b and 2.4e). The budgets close to the coastline present sharp changes, being the terms larger over the land (Fig. 2.4c and 2.4f).

During the experimental field campaign MSB13 an IOP is selected to further explore the initiation of the Sea Breeze. A mesoscale simulation is performed, similar to the one presented above, and we are currently analysing the model outputs. The simulation is 24 h (starting on 19th September 2013). Preliminary results are shown in Fig. 2.5 where the model outputs are compared to the balloon data (the location is indicated with a red dot in Fig. 2.1) although further work is needed to properly compare both sources of data (balloon and model)



Figure 2.5. Balloon soundings during 20th September 2013 (IOP of MSB13 experimental field) for (a) temperature and (b) relative humidity. The same in (c) and (d) but for the model outputs. The wind speed (e) and direction (f) obtained from the model outputs in this site is also included.

3) Strong nocturnal surface inversions

In clear nights with no well-defined synoptic pressure gradients, surface inversions may develop, with strong vertical temperature gradients in the first tens of meters above the surface. Usually these vertical gradients can be between 5 and 10 degrees in 10 or 20 meters and are misrespresented by numerical models and missed by most of fast ascending radiosoundings and ground-based remote sensing methods. Figure 3.1 shows the average of 16 soundings made just after sunset in Raimat, in the Eastern Ebro Valley, one clear night of February 2011 and the corresponding profiles from the simulation. There was a very large amount of condensation at the ground level that later freezed. The corresponding surface energy budget (Figure 3.2) shows a large imbalance in the first part of the night, when all these changes of phase take place. Our aim is to document well these cases observationally and to explore the simulations in order to find their weaknesses and propose ways of improvement.



Figure 3.1. Averaged soundings during the evening together with the same data from the simulation.



Figure 3.2. Observed surface energy balance in Raimat centered in the night 9-10 February.

Case in Mallorca (Feb 2012): During the night of 23 to 24 February 2012 there was an strong inversion event in the foothills in the Palma basin in the island of Mallorca. During that night, observations using a multicopter drone, a tethered balloon and surface data, were made at the campus of the University of the Balearic Islands (see location in Fig. 3.3). A high-resolution mesoscale simulation was performed, as the one described before, but with 3 nested domains of horizontal resolutions of 5km (covering the Balearic Islands, not shown), 1km (covering the island of Mallorca, see Fig. 2.1) and 250m (focusing in the measurement site at UIB indicated with a dot in Fig. 3.3).



Figure 3.3. Topography of domain 3 (at 250m x 250m resolution) centered in the Campus of UIB (black dot) at the foothills of the northern mountain range and about 8km inland.

Preliminary results are show in Figures 3.4 and 3.5 but the comparison to the observations, to see how the model is able to reproduce the strong surface cooling, is under progress.





Figure 3.5. Horizontal of the wind vectors at 10m (a.g.l.) at different instants.

It is found that during the night-time, at the campus of UIB the wind is from NE-E due to the downslope winds generated in the closest mountains. At around 0900 UTC the wind change the direction abruptly and during the day is from S due to upslope winds. The horizontal cross-sections show that at 250m resolution the topography is better captured that at 1km resolution.

Case in Raimat (Ebro Valley, Feb 2011): Several simulations over the Ebro river basin have been performed in the past to understand the dynamics of the basin under clear-skies nights and weak winds conditions. Typically this runs were made at 2km x 2km resolution and the chosen domain covered the whole basin.

A strong surface cooling event, like the one explained before, was observed during an experimental field campaign from 8th to 10th February 2011 in Raimat (placed in the northern dot in Figure 3.6). The setup of this run is like the previous cases studied in this basin except that now 2 nested domains are taken (see Figure 3.6), more centered in the area of interest.

This run is still not completely explored, especially the comparison of the model outputs against the observations. However, in Figures 3.7 and 3.8 there are some preliminary results. Upslope/downslope winds are found in the elevated area where Raimat is located, in agreement with observations. The vertical profiles obtained from the model (Figure 3.8) will be compared to the soundings made at lower levels and to the WindRass measurements.

950.0

900.0

850.0

800.0

750.0

700.0

650.0

600.0

550.0

500.0

450.0

400.0

350.0

300.0

250.0

200.0

150.0

0.00

0.8

0.00

50.0



(a) Outer domain (1.2km x 1.2 km resolution) (b) Inner domain (300m x 300m resolution)

Figure 3.6. Inner and outer domains of the strong nocturnal inversion case in Raimat.



(a) 9 February 2011 at 0000 UTC (b) 9 February 2011 at 1200 UTC

Figure 3.7. Streamlines at 15m (a.g.l.) obtained from the outer domain but for a zoom in the area of interest. The location of Raimat, where the experimental field campaign was done, is indicated with a cross. This template is available at: June 2014

http://www.ecmwf.int/about/computer_access_registration/forms/

(a)





4) Analysis of the 24-h cycle in an Alpine valley

During August 2011, an experimental field campaign took place in the Reuss valley (Switzerland) with the main purpose to quantify the CH4 emissions at a farm scale (0.5-5 km2) in the ETH station of Chamau (see star in Figure 4.1). Apart from surface observations, manned and unmanned aircraft of Wintherthur's University (ZWAH) flew along and across valley, together with soundings in the experimental station of Chamau, belonging to the Swiss ETHZ.

A high-resolution mesoscale simulation is performed using 2 nested domains at 2km x 2km and 500m x 500m horizontal resolution and with a setup similar to the previous runs. The main objective of this run is to inspect what is the role of the slope and valley flows in the local energy budget at Chamau. The simulation start on August, 22nd 2011 at 0600 UTC and ends on August 24th, 2011 at 0000 UTC. Clear skies were reported during this period, allowing the development of topographically generated circulations. The computational cost is similar to the simulation in the Pyrenees explained in section 1 of this document.



Figure 4.1. Topography of the inner and outer domains. The star indicated the location of Chamau, the main measurement site of the campaign.



Figure 4.2. (a) Wind direction during the day (orange arrow) and during the night (green arrow) in Chamau (red start) obtained from the model outputs. (b) and (c) Comparison of the model results to the observations in Chamau.

This is a work still in process, specially the verification of the model outputs against the available observations but here are some of the preliminary results.

From the horizontal cross-sections of the model outputs (not shown) it is seen that upslope and downslope winds are present during day and night, respectively, as it is sketched in the diagram in Fig. 4.2a. The model is able to reproduce this turning of the wind although it can be around 30min delayed, as it is seen in Fig. 4.3b. At higher levels, the model outputs agree with the observations from the manned and unmanned aircraft (Fig. 4.4). The wind speed is also well captured by the model at surface levels (Fig. 4.2b) and at higher levels (Figures 4.3 and 4.4).



Figure 4.3. (a) Flight path of the measurement flights made by DIMO HB-2335, operated by MetAir AG during the experimental field campaign together with the topography of the area. (b) and (c) wind speed and direction observed (red line) during the evening and next morning, respectively, together with the model results (green line).

(a) flight path from DIMO



Figure 4.4. Comparison of the model results (in green) to the available observations. Data from the fligths in red (small UAV) and in blue (piloted aircraft) and profiles from the sounding in yellow. The model outputs are averaged over the period of the UMARS flight and in this plot is shown the mean value (thick green line) and the standard deviation (thin green line).

5) Surface Energy Budget Studies

DICE intercomparison case – preliminary results

During clear-sky and weak wind nights, most of the numerical weather prediction models have difficulties in reproducing the observations close to the surface, especially under strongly stratified conditions. One of the reason is that the turbulence is weak and sometimes intermittent and thus difficult to parameterize in the models. In order to study the main physical processes that take place in the atmospheric boundary layer, and especially during the night, different model intercomparisons have been proposed, as the GEWEX Atmospheric Boundary Layer Study (GABLS) that we have participated. In GABLS, several regimes have been compared using 1D (Cuxart et al., 2006) and LES (Beare et al., 2006) models and in all the cases the initial profiles and the surface conditions (evolution of the temperature) are prescribed and based on observations.

The DIurnal land/atmosphere Coupling Experiment (DICE) is an international experiment designed to identify and understand the interactions and feedbacks between the land and atmospheric boundary layer. The GABLS2 experiment has been re-visited, but with the land surface community included within the analysis. This has allowed a multi-stage project whereby the sensitivity characteristics of each component (land and atmosphere) can be assessed and compared with the characteristics of the coupled simulations, as it is seen in Fig. 5.1. The DICE intercomparison case consists of 3 clear-sky days (72 hours) during the CASES99 campaign, with a variety of turbulence characteristics between them. The night-time regimes can be classified as intermittently turbulent, fully turbulent and very stable (hardly any turbulence) while the days also show differences in, for example, boundary layer growth rates. The participant models are shown in Fig. 5.1.



Fig. 5.1. (left) Simulation strategy of the DICE intercomparison case. (right) participant models.

The intercomparison work is still in progress but some of the results obtained from the MesoNH model are shown in Fig. 5.2. It is found that for the coupled runs (Simple Column Model + Land Surface Model) the specific humidity is much larger than the observed in good correspondence with larger latent heat flux and smaller sensible heat flux than the observed values.



Fig. 5.2. DICE results obtained from the MesoNH model: stage 1 (SCM) and stage 2 (CPL, several sensitivity tests). In light blue the observations. Time series of: (a) 2m temperature, (b) 10m wind, (c) 2m specific humidity, (d) sensible heat flux and (e) latent heat flux.

Evaluation of the Surface Energy Budget Equation

Numerical atmospheric models force closure of the Surface Energy Budget (SEB), taking the ground flux as a residual. Taking the derivation of the SEB from the temperature equation (further explained in Cuxart et al., 2014b) the imbalance can be written as:

Rnt + H - G - LE = -TT - A + S + B + Ot = Imb

where Rnt is the net radiation, H sensible heat flux, G the ground flux, LE the sensible heat flux, TT the temperature tendency, A the temperature advection, S the storage of the mass elements, B the biological processes and Ot other processes, such as instrumental errors. These terms have been estimated in Raimat (see location in Fig. 3.6) using data from a SEB station (2009-2010) and using the ECMWF model outputs at two instants: night (0000-0300 UTC) and day (1200-1500 UTC). Some of the results are shown in Table 5.1 and in Fig. 5.3.

			TT	Α	Rn_t	Н	LE	G	Imb
1200-1500 UTC	annual	station	0.47	0.06	365.8	- 64.7	-155.9	-36.6	104.0
		model	0.23	-0.03	347.8	-148.6	-140.4	-58.9	0
	winter	station	0.37	0.07	180.1	- 50.8	-50.4	-23.2	59.4
		model	0.23	-0.06	186.1	-66.7	-76.9	-42.5	0
	spring	station	0.46	0.03	435.4	- 84.8	-185.8	-47.1	116.3
		model	0.23	-0.02	412.1	-180.2	-162.0	-70.0	0
	summer	station	0.62	0.11	555.9	- 65.2	-284.5	-57.1	142.5
		model	0.27	-0.02	509.3	-229.0	-204.2	-76.1	0
	fall	station	0.44	0.04	293.3	- 56.4	-104.8	-22.7	105.2
		model	0.17	-0.02	279.5	-116.3	-116.8	-46.3	0
0000-0300 UTC	annual	station	-0.29	0.21	-40.2	14.3	-7.5	23.0	-11.7
		model	-0.28	0.00	-56.7	13.7	-2.9	45.9	0
	winter	station	-0.16	0.25	-33.5	11.0	-4.3	19.2	-8.0
		model	-0.16	-0.05	-52.1	17.8	-1.8	36.1	0
	spring	station	-0.33	0.23	-41.8	15.3	-9.2	22.0	-14.6
		model	-0.33	0.01	-57.9	13.3	-3.6	42.8	0
	summer	station	-0.38	0.16	-47.6	21.2	-14.0	27.3	-15.4
		model	-0.37	0.04	-59.5	10.6	-3.5	52.4	0
	fall	station	-0.30	0.22	-38.1	9.9	-2.5	23.3	-8.9
		model	-0.24	-0.02	-57.3	13.2	-2.7	46.9	0

Table 5.1. Averaged observed and modelled values of the terms of the SEB (in W/m2); taking positive the flux to the surface.



Fig. 5.3. Correlation between the observed values (labelled with S) with those simulated (M) for all the data during 2009 and 2010.

List of publications/reports from the project with complete references

Beare, R.J., MacVean, M.K., Holtslag, A.A.M., Cuxart, J., Esau, I., Golaz, J.-C., Jimenez, M.A., Khairoutdinov, M., Kosovic, B., Lewellen, D., Lund, T.S., Lundquist, J.K., McCabe, A., Moene, A.F., Noh, Y., Raash, S., and Sullivan, P., 2006: An intercomparison of Large-eddy simulations of the stable boundary layer. *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **118**, vol. 2, 247-272.

Bravo, M., Mira, A., Soler, M.R., and Cuxart, J., 2008: Intercomparison and evaluation of MM5 and Meso-NH mesoscale models in the stable boundary layer, *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **128**, 77-101.

Cuxart, J., Jiménez, M.A., Telisman-Prtenjak, M., and Grisogono, B., 2014: Study of a quasi-ideal sea breeze through momentum, temperature and turbulence budgets, *accepted in J. Appl. Meterol. and Clim.*

Cuxart, J., Conangla, L. and Jiménez, M.A., 2014b: Evaluation of the surface energy budget equation with experimental data and the ECMWF model in the Ebro valley. *Submitted to J. Geophys. Res.*

Cuxart, J., and Jiménez, M.A., 2012: Deep radiation fog in a wide closed valley: study by numerical modeling and remote sensing, *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, **169**, 911-926.

Cuxart, J., Cunillera, J., Jiménez, M.A., Martínez, D., Molinos, F., and Palau, J.L., 2012: Study of mesobeta basin flows by remote sensing, *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **143**, 143-158.

Cuxart, J., 2008: Nocturnal basin low-level jets: an integrated study, Acta Geophysica, 56, 100-113.

Cuxart, J., and Jiménez, M.A., 2007: Mixing processes in a nocturnal Low-Level Jet: An LES study. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64**, vol. 5, 1666-1679.

Cuxart, J., Jiménez, M.A., and Martínez, D., 2007: Nocturnal katabatic and mesobeta basin flow on a midlatitude island. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **135**, vol. 3, 918-932.

Jiménez, M.A., and Cuxart, J., 2014: A study of the nocturnal flows generated in the north side of the Pyrenees, *Atmos. Res.*, **144-145**, 244-254.

Jiménez, M.A., Mira, A., Cuxart, J., Luque, A., Alonso, S., and Guijarro, J.A., 2008: Verification of a clear-sky mesoscale simulation using satellite-derived surface temperatures. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **136**, 5148-5161.

Jiménez, M.A., and Cuxart, J., 2005: Large-eddy simulations of the stable boundary layer using the standard Kolmogorov theory: range of applicability. *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **115**, 241-261.

M. Lothon, F. Lohou, D. Pino, F. Couvreux, E. R. Pardyjak, J. Reuder, J. Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, P. Durand, O. Hartogensis, D. Legain, P. Augustin, B. Gioli, I. Faloona, C. Yagüe, D. C. Alexander, W. M. Angevine, E. Bargain, J. Barrié, E. Bazile, Y. Bezombes, E. Blay-Carreras, A. van de Boer, J. L. Boichard, A. Bourdon, A. Butet, B. Campistron, O. de Coster, J. Cuxart, A. Dabas, C. Darbieu, K. Deboudt, H. Delbarre, S. Derrien, P. Flament, M. Fourmentin, A. Garai, F. Gibert, A. Graf, J. Groebner, F. Guichard, M. A. Jimenez, M. Jonassen, A. van den Kroonenberg, D. H. Lenschow, V. Magliulo, S. Martin, D. Martinez, L. Mastrorillo, A. F. Moene, F. Molinos, E. Moulin, H. P. Pietersen, B. Piguet, E. Pique, C. Román-Cascón, C. Rufin-Soler, F. Saïd, M. Sastre-Marugán, Y. Seity, G. J. Steeneveld, P. Toscano, O. Traullé, D. Tzanos, S. Wacker, N. Wildmann, and A. Zaldei, 2014: The BLLAST field experiment: Boundary-Layer Late Afternoon and Sunset Turbulence. *Under revision in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*

Martínez, D., Jiménez, M.A., Cuxart, J., and Mahrt, L., 2010: Heterogeneous nocturnal cooling in a large basin under very stable conditions. *Bound.-Layer Meteor.*, **137**, 97-113.

Martínez, D., and Cuxart, J., 2009: Assessment of the hydraulic slope flow approach using a mesoscale model, *Acta Geophysica*, **57**, 882-903.

Martínez, D., and Cuxart, J., and Cunillera, J., 2008: Climatologia condicionada de les nits establement estratificades al pla de Lleida, *Tethys*, **5**, 13-24.

Martínez, D., and Cuxart, J., 2007: A gravity current study within the Palma de Mallorca basin. *Física de la Tierra*, **19**, 21-36.

Summary of plans for the continuation of the project

(10 lines max)

This project will continue to study the evolution of the ABL in complex terrain, analysing highresolution simulations for selected areas. In the next months the analysis of the BLLAST simulation will be completed, with some supplementary runs for the smallest domain to compare the observed and the simulated response to local heterogeneities as measured in summer 2011. We will continue analysing and performing new runs over Mallorca, to help in the analysis of the experimental field campaigns performed there.