CREST

Outline

- What is CRESTA?
- IFS future resolutions
- PGAS (Fortran 2008)
- GPGPUs
- Co-models
- DAGs

Parallelisation and Exascale Computing Challenges

George.Mozdzynski@ecmwf.int

Acknowledgements

Mats Hamrud Nils Wedi Willem Deconinck Jens Doleschal Harvey Richardson

ECMWF ECMWF ECMWF Technische Universität Dresden Cray UK

And my other partners in the CRESTA Project

The CRESTA project has received funding from the EU Seventh Framework Programme (ICT-2011.9.13)









What is CRESTA - see http://cresta-project.eu/

- Collaborative Research into Exascale Systemware, Tools and Applications
- EU funded project, 3 years (started Oct 2011), ~ 50 scientists
- Six co-design vehicles (aka applications)
 - ELMFIRE (CSC, ABO, UEDIN) fusion plasma
 - GROMACS (KTH) molecular dynamics
 - HEMELB (UCL) biomedical
 - IFS (ECMWF) weather



- Two tool suppliers
 - ALLINEA (ddt : debugger) & TUD (vampir : performance analysis)
- Technology and system supplier CRAY UK
- Many Others (mostly universities)
 - ABO, CRSA, CSC, DLR, JYU, KTH, UCL, UEDIN-EPCC, USTUTT-HRLS





Some of the "issues" at the Exascale

- Power
 - An Exascale computer today would require about a gigawatt (\$1B per year)
 - 20 megawatt seen as a limit for governments with deep pockets
 - We expect engineers will solve this problem
- Processors are not getting faster
 - They are getting slower
 - But this is more than compensated by their number (e.g. GPGPUs)
- Reliability
 - Uptime for single system ~ 1 day
 - Implies redundancy of nodes, network, filesystem, no single point of failure
- Scalability of applications
 - Incremental / disruptive solutions / new algorithms / I/O
 - Ensemble methods?



ECMWF Seminar 2013



Sustained Teraflops

CREST

ECMWF Seminar 2013



Sustained Teraflops

IFS model: current and future model resolutions

IFS model resolution	Envisaged Operational Implementation	Grid point spacing (km)	Time-step (seconds)	Estimated number of cores ¹
T1279 H ²	2013 (L137)	16	600	2K
T2047 H	2014-2015	10	450	6K
T3999 NH ³	2023-2024	5	240	80K
T7999 NH	2031-2032	2.5	30-120	1-4M

1 – a gross estimate for the number of 'IBM Power7' equivalent cores needed to achieve a 10 day model forecast in under 1 hour (~240 FD/D), system size would normally be ~10 times this number.

2 – Hydrostatic Dynamics

3 – Non-Hydrostatic Dynamics



An example of why running a single model at the Exascale will be "challenging"

- Assume the following,
 - model time step of 30 seconds
 - 10 day forecast
 - model on 4M cores
 - max 1 hour wall clock
- 1 step needs to run in under 0.125 seconds
- Using 32 OpenMP threads per task, we will have 128K MPI tasks
- Say we do a simple MPI_SEND from 1 task (e.g. master) to all other 128K tasks
- This will take an estimated 128K x 1 microsec = 0.128 seconds
- Of course we need to use more efficient MPI collectives
- Implies global communications cannot be used, or
- Each task needs to run with 100's or 1000's of threads or GPU cores => max O(10K) MPI tasks, and
- Use of 2D or 3D parallelization



IFS grid point space: "EQ_REGIONS" partitioning for 1024 MPI tasks

Each MPI task has an equal number of grid points







IFS PGAS Optimisations for ExaScale & Co-design

- IFS PGAS optimisations in the CRESTA project
 - Involve use of Fortran2008 coarrays (CAF)
 - Used within context of OpenMP parallel regions
- Overlap Legendre transforms with associated transpositions
- Overlap Fourier transforms with associated transpositions
- Rework semi-Lagrangian communications
 - To substantially reduce communicated halo data
 - To overlap halo communications with SL interpolations
- CAF co-design team
 - <u>caf-co-design@cresta-project.eu</u>
 - ECMWF optimise IFS as described above
 - CRAY optimize DMAPP to be thread safe
 - TUD visualize CAF operations in IFS with vampir
 - ALLINEA debug IFS at scale with ddt (MPI/OMP/CAF)

george.mozdzynski@ecmwf.int mats.hamrud@ecmwf.int willem.deconinck@ecmwf.int harveyr@cray.com michs@kth.se tobias.hilbrich@tu-dresden.de kostas@ihs.uni-stuttgart.de m.bull@epcc.ed.ac.uk jens.doleschal@tu-dresden.de xaguilar@pdc.kth.se david@allinea.com jeremy@epcc.ed.ac.uk



IFS PGAS optimisations for [Tera,Peta,Exa]scale



Overlap Legendre transforms with associated transpositions

LTINV TRMTOL (MPI_alltoallv) OLD



NEW

time



Overlap Legendre transforms with associated transpositions/3 (LTINV + coarray puts)



Expectation is that compute (LTINV-blue) and communication (coarray puts-yellow) overlap in time. We can now see this with an extension to vampir developed in CRESTA



Semi-Lagrangian Transport

- Computation of a trajectory from each grid-point backwards in time, and
- Interpolation of various quantities at the departure and at the mid-point of the trajectory



Semi-Lagrangian Transport: T799 model, 256 tasks

Task 11 encountered the highest wind speed of 120 m/s (268 mph) during a 10 day forecast starting 15 Oct 2004



blue: halo area



Halo width assumes a maximum wind speed of 400 m/s x 720 s T799 time-step (288 km)

Get u,v,w wind vector variables (3) from 'neighbour' tasks to determine departure and mid-point of trajectory



red: halo points actually used



Get rest of the variables (26) from the red halo area and perform interpolations

Note that volume of halo data communicated is dependent on wind speed and direction in locality of each task



wind plot





Semi-Lagrangian – coarray implementation

red: only the halo points that are used are communicated



Note no more blue area (max wind halo) and associated overhead.

Also, halo coarray transfers take place in same OpenMP loop as the interpolations.



ORNL's "Titan" System

- #1 in Nov 2012 Top500 list
- CRESTA awarded access (INCITE13 programme)
- 18X peak perf. of ECMWF's P7 clusters (C2A+C2B=1.5 Petaflops)
- Upgrade of Jaguar from Cray XT5 to XK6
- Cray Linux Environment operating system
- Gemini interconnect
 - 3-D Torus
 - Globally addressable memory
- AMD Interlagos cores (16 cores per node)
- New accelerated node design using NVIDIA K20 "Kepler" multi-core accelerators
- 600 TB DDR3 mem. + 88 TB GDDR5 mem

/	
Titan Specs	
Compute Nodes	18,688
Login & I/O Nodes	512
Memory per node	32 GB + 6 GB
# of NVIDIA K20 "Kepler" processors	14,592
Total System Memory	688 TB
Total System Peak Performance	27 Petaflops

CRESI

T2047L137 IFS forecast model performance RAPS12 (CY37R3, on HECToR), RAPS13 (CY38R2, on TITAN)



IFS T3999L137 hydrostatic forecast model performance on TITAN RAPS13 IFS (CY38R2), cce=8.1.5, NRADRES=2047, NRADFR=1





Single node performance for md.F90 ** (normalised by wall clock time for 16 AMD Interlagos cores)



** md.F90 is a small (237 lines) molecular dynamics kernel Thank you to Alistair Hart (CRAY) for helping me with the OpenACC version Happy to share OpenMP and OpenACC code, send me an email CRES

Radiation computations in parallel with model



Radiation in parallel (1)



Radiation in parallel (2)



(1) Radiation lagged by 1 step, reduced radiation grid (1x more cores)

(2) Radiation lagged by 1 step, radiation grid = model grid (2.5x more cores)



DAG example: Cholesky Inversion



Schedule for future IFS optimisations in CRESTA

When	Activity
2H2013	Scaling runs of T3999 model on TITAN (CRESTA INCITE award)
	Initial use of GPUs for IFS (targeting costly LTINV/LTDIR dgemm's)
	Some OpenACC experiments with IFS
2014	 Further IFS scalability optimisations Radiation [wave model, surf scheme] computations in parallel with model transpose SL data
	 Explore use of DAG parallelisation (with OMPSs) With a toy code representative of IFS
	Development & testing of alternative local data structures (minimizing communications) for IFS



Summary

- Many challenges exist for IFS **applications** to run at the Exascale
- First of these is for hardware vendors to build Exascale computers that are both affordable (cost + power) and reliable
- Ease of programming GPGPU technology will be much easier in the future when there is a single address space for GPGPU cores and conventional cores (if available)
 - Will we need OpenACC in this future?
 - The term GPGPU will disappear in the future
- Our IFS applications will require substantial development in the years to come



ECMWF Seminar 2013

Thank you for your attention

QUESTIONS?

How far can we go with ...

technology applied at ECMWF for the last 30 years ...

A spectral transform, semi-Lagrangian, semiimplicit (compressible) (non-)hydrostatic model?

-Computational efficiency on and affordability of future HPC architectures ? -Accuracy and predictability at cloud-resolving scales ?

"The reports of my death have been greatly exaggerated" <u>Mark Twain</u>

The spectral transform method, dead or alive ?



IFS model coarray developments

Compile with –DCOARRAYS

for compilers that support Fortran2008 coarray syntax

Run with,

&NAMPAR1 LCOARRAYS=true,

LCOARRAYS=true, to use coarray optimizations

&NAMPAR1 LCOARRAYS=false,

to use original MPI implementation



LTINV recoding

COMPUTE COMMUNICATION

& PSPVOR, PSPDIV, PSPSCALAR, & & PSPSC3A, PSPSC3B, PSPSC2 , &

FOUBUF(1:IBLEN)=FOUBUF C(1:IBLEN)[MYPROC]

DO JM=1,D%NUMP IM = D%MYMS(JM)

ENDIF

ENDIF ENDDO ENDDO

!\$OMP END PARALLEL DO

SYNC IMAGES(D%NMYSETW)

DO JW=1,NPRTRW

IF (ILEN > 0) THEN

IF (ILENS > 0) THEN

```
!$OMP PARALLEL DO SCHEDULE(DYNAMIC,1) PRIVATE(JM,IM)
                                        DO JM=1,D%NUMP
                                          IM = D%MYMS(JM)
                                          CALL LTINV(IM, JM, KF OUT LT, KF UV, KF SCALARS, KF SCDERS, ILEI2, IDIM1, &
                                           & PSPVOR, PSPDIV, PSPSCALAR , &
                                           & PSPSC3A, PSPSC3B, PSPSC2 , &
                                           & KFLDPTRUV, KFLDPTRSC, FSPGL PROC)
                                                                                      ORIGINAL
                                        ENDDO
                                        !SOMP END PARALLEL DO
                                                                                          code
                                        DO J=1,NPRTRW
                                          ILENS(J) = D%NLTSFTB(J)*IFIELD
                                          IOFFS(J) = D%NSTAGT0B(J)*IFIELD
                                          ILENR(J) = D%NLTSGTB(J)*IFIELD
                                          IOFFR(J) = D%NSTAGT0B(D%MSTABF(J))*IFIELD
                                        ENDDO
                                        CALL MPL_ALLTOALLV(PSENDBUF=FOUBUF_IN,KSENDCOUNTS=ILENS,&
                                         & PRECVBUF=FOUBUF, KRECVCOUNTS=ILENR, &
                                         & KSENDDISPL=IOFFS, KRECVDISPL=IOFFR, &
                                         & KCOMM=MPL ALL MS COMM, CDSTRING='TRMTOL:')
$$ SOMP PARALLEL DO SCHEDULE(DYNAMIC,1) PRIVATE(JM,IM,JW,IPE,ILEN,ILENS,IOFFS,IOFFR)
 CALL LTINV(IM, JM, KF_OUT_LT, KF_UV, KF_SCALARS, KF_SCDERS, ILEI2, IDIM1, &
    & KFLDPTRUV, KFLDPTRSC, FSPGL PROC)
    CALL SET2PE(IPE, 0, 0, JW, MYSETV)
    ILEN = D%NLEN M(JW,1,JM)*IFIELD
      IOFFS = (D%NSTAGT0B(JW)+D%NOFF M(JW,1,JM))*IFIELD
     IOFFR = (D%NSTAGT0BW(JW,MYSETW)+D%NOFF_M(JW,1,JM))*IFIELD
                                                                                       NEW
      FOUBUF C(IOFFR+1:IOFFR+ILEN)[IPE]=FOUBUF IN(IOFFS+1:IOFFS+ILEN)
                                                                                       code
    ILENS = D%NLEN M(JW,2,JM)*IFIELD
      IOFFS = (D%NSTAGT0B(JW)+D%NOFF_M(JW,2,JM))*IFIELD
      IOFFR = (D%NSTAGT0BW(JW,MYSETW)+D%NOFF_M(JW,2,JM))*IFIELD
      FOUBUF C(IOFFR+1:IOFFR+ILENS)[IPE]=FOUBUF IN(IOFFS+1:IOFFS+ILENS)
```



Butterfly algorithm: apply

for $l = 0 \rightarrow L$ do

for all j, k boxes do

if l = 0 then

store $\beta_{0,k} = A_{0,k}\alpha_k$

else

store $\beta_{l,j,k}$ = $A_{l,j,k} \times comb_l_and_r_neighb(\beta, l-1)$ end if

if l = L then

store
$$f_{L,j} = C_{L,j}\beta_{L,j}$$

end if

end for

end for





% (of total execution time) cost of spectral part of the model on IBM Power7 (all L91, all NH for comparison); Total includes communications



■ COMPUTE STOTAL

% cost of Spectral Transforms on IBM Power7 (all L91, all NH for comparison)

■ COMPUTE STOTAL



Average wall-clock time compute cost [milli-seconds] per spectral transform





CREST

IFS PGAS Optimisations for Exascale

- IFS PGAS optimisations in the CRESTA project
 - Involve use of Fortran2008 coarrays (CAF)
 - Used within context of OpenMP parallel regions
- Overlap Legendre transforms with associated transpositions
- Overlap Fourier transforms with associated transpositions
- Rework semi-Lagrangian communications
 - To substantially reduce communicated halo data
 - To overlap halo communications with SL interpolations
- Explore GPU and Vector technology for further computational speed-ups of matrix-matrix multiplies



Numerical solution

- Two-time-level, semi-implicit, semi-Lagrangian.
- Semi-implicit procedure with two reference states, with respect to gravity and acoustic waves, respectively.
- The resulting Helmholtz equation can be solved (subject to some constraints on the vertical discretization) with a *direct spectral method*, that is, a mathematical separation of the horizontal and vertical part of the linear problem in spectral space, with the remainder representing at most a pentadiagonal problem of dimension NLEV². Non-linear residuals are treated explicitly (or iteratively implicitly)!

(Robert, 1972; Bénard et al 2004,2005,2010)



NH IFS T_L3999 L91 (5 km) on IBM Power7 with FLT



TSTEP=180s, 3.1s/iteration Using 1024 tasks x16 OpenMP threads 10 day forecast ~ 4 hours for this config SP_DYN was 23 percent for this model configuration, and is now 7 percent. Improvement due to exposing 'greater OpenMP parallelism' from 4K threads to a maximum of 4K * 91 threads ; in this case 16K threads.



T3999 6h forecast - inverse transforms: CPU time vs. wave



T3999 6h forecast - inverse transforms: Floating point operations vs. wave number



Exascale problem projections

- To run a T7999 L137 forecast (~2.5km) may require approximately 1-4 million processors (of current technology) to run in one hour
- At the same time 1-4 Million processors could run a 50 member ensemble of T3999 L137 in the same hour
- But first we have to be able to run a T3999 L137 forecast efficiently in one hour!

