Some aspects of the HARMONIE limited-area model

Mariano Hortal Project Leader on Dynamics. HIRLAM



Outlook

- Vertical discretization using finite elements
- Spectral discretization in the horizontal
 - Spectral basis
 - Biperiodization
 - Relaxation to the nesting model
 - Application of relaxation and biperiodization in spectral space
- Elimination of the extension zone from the gridpoint representation and increase of the width of the extension zone

Vertical discretization using finite elements (F.E.)

- In the hydrostatic version the only vertical operator is the integral
- In the non-hydrostatic version both the integral and the derivative are needed
 - This introduces some constraints when arriving at a Helmholtz equation
 - These constraints are not fulfilled by the F.E. operators



Construction of a vertical operator

$$F = \frac{df}{d\eta}$$
$$F(\eta) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{M} F_i E^i(\eta)$$
$$f(\eta) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i e^i(\eta)$$

Derivative operator

Approximate functions as linear combinations of basis functions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} F_{i} E^{i}(\eta) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j} \frac{d}{d\eta} e^{j}(\eta)$$



Galerkin procedure

Scalarly multiply by a set of test functions

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} F_{i} \int_{0}^{1} E^{i}(\eta) T_{k}(\eta) d\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d\eta} e^{j}(\eta) T_{k}(\eta) d\eta \qquad \forall k \in (1-K)$$

$$A_{k}^{i} \qquad B_{k}^{j}$$
(mass matrix) (operator matrix)

Approximation error: orthogonal to space spanned by test functions *T*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} F_{i} A_{k}^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j} B_{k}^{j} \Longrightarrow \widetilde{F} \mathbf{A} = \widetilde{f} \mathbf{B}$$

K equations M unknowns



Galerkin procedure (cont)

 \tilde{f} is the set of coefficients for the representation of function $f(\eta)$

If we are given the values $f(\eta_j)$ at a set of values of η (full level values)

$$f(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} f_{i}e^{i}(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}) \equiv \tilde{f}\mathbf{P}$$
$$\tilde{f} = f(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j})\mathbf{P}^{-1}$$

P^{−1} is the projection matrix to the space spanned by the basis functions e



Galerkin procedure (cont)

From the vector of values \widetilde{F} We can get the values of the function at full levels $F(\eta_l) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_j E^j(\eta_l) \equiv \widetilde{F} \mathbf{S}$

Where S Is the inverse projection matrix from the space spanned by the basis E

$$F(\eta_j) = \widetilde{F}\mathbf{S} = \widetilde{f}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{S} = f(\eta_j)\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{S} \equiv f(\eta_j)\mathbf{M}$$



Vertical operators (cont)

- Matrix **M** applied to the set of full-level values of field f gives the set of full-level values of its derivative
- Similarly we can compute the matrix for the integral operator: N
- The order of accuracy of both **M** and **N**, using cubic basis functions can be shown to be 8
- M and N are NOT the inverse of each other



Equations

 $\frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} + \frac{RT}{p} \nabla_{\eta} p + \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \nabla_{\eta} \phi = \varsigma$ $\gamma \frac{dw}{dt} + g \left(1 - \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \right) = \gamma \Omega$ $\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\eta} (m\mathbf{V}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (m\dot{\eta}) = 0$ $\frac{dT}{dt} - \frac{RT}{C_p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{Q}{C_p}$ $\frac{dp}{dt} + \frac{C_p}{C_1} pD_3 = \frac{Qp}{C_1T}$ $\frac{d\phi}{dt} = gw$ $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \pi} = -m \frac{RT}{p}$ ECMWF Seminar, September 2013

9

Pressure departure and Vertical divergence

$$P = \frac{p - \pi}{\pi}$$
$$d = -g \frac{\rho}{m} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}$$

The corresponding equations are

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \left(1+P\right)\left(\frac{1}{p}\frac{dp}{dt} - \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{d\pi}{dt}\right) = -\left(1+P\right)\left(\frac{C_p}{C_v}D_3 + \frac{\dot{\pi}}{\pi}\right) + \left(1+P\right)\frac{Q}{C_vT}$$
$$\frac{dd}{dt} = d\frac{1}{p}\frac{dp}{dt} - d\frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{dt} - d\frac{1}{m}\frac{dm}{dt} - g\frac{p}{mRT}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}\right)$$

Helmholtz equation

Eliminating from the discretized set of equations (with some constraints to be fulfilled by the operators) all the variables except the vertical divergence, we obtain a Helmholtz equation:

$$\left[1 - (\Delta t)^2 c_*^2 \left(m_*^2 \nabla^2 + \frac{\mathbf{L}^*}{r H_*^2}\right) - (\Delta t)^4 \frac{N_*^2 c_*^2}{r} m_*^2 \nabla^2 T^*\right] \mathbf{d} = r.h.s.$$

Which can be solved very easily in spectral space In a projection on vertical eigenvectors



Choices to apply VFE in the NH version

- Choose a set of equations using only one vertical operator
 - Change the set of forecast fields
 - Change the vertical coordinate to one based on height instead of mass
- Solve a set of two coupled equations instead of a single Helmholtz equation



Change of the vertical coordinate to a height-based hybrid one

- Use of a time-independent coordinate eliminates the X-term.
- Only derivatives are used in the vertical (no integrals) which simplifies the constraints to arrive at a single Helmholtz equation
- The coordinate is still a hybrid coordinate. The data flow is maintained.



Change the vertical coordinate

- Juan Simarro has tested this option.
- Any vertical discretization, either finite differences or finite elements of accuracy order greater than 4 becomes unstable

Note: In general higher accuracy leads to lower stability



Solve a coupled system of equations (Jozef Vivoda & Petra Smolikova)

• In order to arrive at a single Helmholtz equation, the following constraint (C1) has to be fulfilled

$$A_1 \equiv G^* S^* - S^* - G^* + N^* = 0$$

Where

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^* \psi \end{pmatrix}_l \equiv \int_{\eta}^1 \frac{m^*}{\pi^*} \psi d\eta$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} S^* \psi \end{pmatrix}_l \equiv \frac{1}{\pi_l^*} \int_{0}^{\eta_l} m^* \psi d\eta$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} N^* \psi \end{pmatrix}_l \equiv \begin{pmatrix} S^* \psi \end{pmatrix}_{L+1}$$

As this constraint is not fulfilled with the finite-elements integral operator, we cannot arrive at a single Helmholtz equation



Solve a coupled system of equations (cont)

Instead, we arrive at a coupled system involving both The horizontal and the vertical divergences

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E} & -\mathbb{F} \\ -\mathbb{B} & \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d^{\bullet} \\ D^{\bullet} \end{pmatrix}.$$
where $\mathbb{A} = (1 - \delta t^2 c^2 \Delta),$
 $\mathbb{B} = \delta t^2 \Delta (-RT^* \mathcal{G}^* + c^2),$
 $\mathbb{C} = \delta t^2 \Delta RT^* \mathcal{A}_1,$
 $\mathbb{E} = \left(1 - \delta t^2 c^2 \frac{\mathcal{L}^*}{rH^2}\right),$
 $\mathbb{F} = \delta t^2 \frac{\mathcal{L}^*}{rH^2} \left(-RT^* \mathcal{S}^* + c^2\right).$

Solve a coupled system of equations (cont)

- The system of equations is twice as large as in the hydrostatic case
- An iterative procedure has been adopted for solving the system
- This method is being implemented in both HARMONIE and IFS



Spectral horizontal discretization

- Spherical harmonics are not an appropriate basis for a limited-area domain
- The model equations are solved on a plane projection with Cartesian x-y coordinates
- Double Fourier functions are used as the basis for spectral discretization
- Fields should be periodic in both x and y
- An extension zone is used to biperiodize the fields



Biperiodization of fields

$$F(x, y) \approx \sum_{i=-I}^{I} \sum_{j=-J}^{J} f_k^{l} e^{ikx/L_x} e^{jly/L_y}$$

Periodic in x (period Lx) and in y (period Ly)





Boundary conditions





Boundary conditions Gabor Radnoti 1995

Semi-implicit solution procedure:

$$(I - \Delta t \mathscr{Q}) \Psi_{t+\Delta t} = \Psi_{t+\Delta t(\exp)} + \Delta t \mathscr{Q} \left(\Psi_{t-\Delta t} - 2\Psi_{t}\right)$$

bling to a nesting model (LS) $\widetilde{\Psi}$

Coupling to a nesting model (LS)

$$\Psi^{C} = (1 - \alpha) \cdot \Psi^{l} + \alpha \cdot \Psi^{LS}$$

 α =1 at the whole of E. α =0 at the whole of C Smoothly changing at I

Implementation:

$$(I - \Delta t \mathcal{Q})\Psi_{t+\Delta t} = (1 - \alpha)\Psi^{l} + \alpha (I - \Delta t \mathcal{Q})\Psi_{t+\Delta t}^{LS}$$



Boundary cond. (cont)



Their values at the right border of E should join smoothly with their values at the left border of I

They can be computed by means of smoothed splines Or by Boyd's linear combination of the values at E and at B



Increasing the width of E

- In data assimilation the influence of an observation covers an area around the observation position
- Due to the periodicity of fields, an observation close to the right border of the inner domain can affect the fields on the left border.
- That can be eliminated by increasing the width of the extension zone



Increasing the width of E (cont)

- If the points in the extension zone are present in the gridpoint representation
 - The cost of running the model increases if we increase the width of E
 - Due to the clipping of the semi-Lagrangian trajectories to the C+I area, the interpolation points could fall outside the semi-Lagrangian buffer, producing floating-point errors or segmentation faults
- Elimination of the extension zone from the grid-point representation
 - Application of the boundary conditions and biperiodization in spectral space

