

#### Use of ODB at Met Office

Adam Maycock ECMWF MOS 20<sup>th</sup> Nov 2013

© Crown Copyright 2013 Source: Met Office





- Why is Met Office using ODB?
- Implementation in OPS
- Current status
- Work to do



 Current monitoring processes are not good enough





# MetDB mergeback issues

- MetDB provides convenient storage and interface, but no unified monitoring tools
- Therefore, huge number of separate, bespoke applications
- High maintenance costs, single experts
- Cannot use for research trials
- MetDB not owned by Weather Science
- Development can take a long time



**Better solution?** 

# ODB addresses these issues

- With obstat, magics, Metview etc, all scientists can use the same basic framework
- Weather Science can "own" the system
- Implement in operations and any research experiment or trial



# ODB content

- We can put what we want in ODB
- Any observed, derived, model background quantity, flag, correction, metadata. In fact anything that OPS knows about
- To do this, we add a column or varno to the ODB schema and change OPS code to write to it
- Applications are (should be) independent of the schema



## Implementation in OPS







### Current status

- Implemented in operational global model
- Implemented in research global suite(s)
- Working in regional research suites (UKV only NAE to be retired soon)
- ODBs archived as per-cycle tarballs
- Rapidly developing obstat, Magics, Metview applications



# Work to do

- Develop substantial range of automatic monitoring for global (Mar 2014)
- Implement in operational UKV (~Apr 2014)
- Interface with VER (~now)
- Proper archiving solution. Quite possibly MARS - or "MARS lite". (~mid 2014)
- Enable production of ODB without MetDB (~Mar 2014, with KMA)



#### Acknowledgments

#### David Davies (OPS team; ODB developer) Peter Kuchta and colleagues (ECMWF)



