ENSO and ENSO teleconnections



Hyemi Kim and Peter J. Webster

School of Earth and Atmospheric Science Georgia Institute of Technology



- Introduction to the ENSO and its impacts
- ENSO simulation and prediction
- Central Pacific El Nino
- Sub-seasonal (MJO) prediction
- Summary

Source of seasonal predictability

ENSO variability



- El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO): the biggest single signal
- Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO): an important source of extended-range prediction in the tropics/extratropics.

MJO variability



ENSO

• ENSO is one of the most significant couplings between the ocean and atmosphere on an interannual timescale occurs in the tropical Pacific.





- Warm-pool extends to the east
- Convection is displaced eastward
- East-west SST gradient reduces
- Walker circulation weakens

Upwelling in E Pacific reduces (thermocline relaxes)

What causes El Nino?

Triggering Mechanism

- Not well understood
- "Westerly wind bursts" (WWBs) over a period of several days may be one trigger
 - Most often associated with the MJO
 - Atmospheric Kelvin waves also generate sustained westerly winds
 - <u>Multiple sustained</u> WWBs decrease the equatorial easterlies.
 Less upwelling increases the central and eastern Pacific SSTs and lowers the thermocline depth and **initiates an El Nino event**





Delayed Oscillator Theory

Delayed Oscillator Theory

(Suarez and Schopf 1988, Battisti and Hirst 1989)

Atmospheric WWBs generate equatorial Rossby and Kelvin waves in the ocean

Oceanic Kelvin waves:

Move eastward very rapidly Induce downwelling (increases the thermocline depth)

Warm the ocean mixed layer and SSTs

Oceanic Rossby waves:

Move westward at slow speeds Rossby wave is reflected back at the western boundary → upwelling Kelvin wave

This theory provides an explanation for the ENSO every 4-5 years



ENSO characteristics

- Large variability in the tropics
- Phase locking to the seasonal cycle (maximum in the boreal winter)
- 3-7 year timescale



Atmospheric Circulation Changes due to ENSO



• ENSO provides one of the strongest sources of interannual variability in the global climate.

• The anomalous diabatic heating in the tropical Pacific Ocean forces anomalies in tropical convection, which forces strong upper tropospheric divergence in the tropics and convergence in the subtropics \rightarrow Standing Rossby wave with energy propagation from the tropics to midlat.

N. America (winter)



* NOAA/CPC

El Nino: Shift in sub-tropical jet brings storms into southern part US

- Warm in north, cool in south
- Southeast US experiences anomalous precipitation
- California coast experiences high precipitation
- Pacific NW and Midwest generally drier

La Nina : generally opposite patterns

Global impact



* IRI

Tropical cyclone activity



<u>In El Nino year</u>

- Reduced frequency :
 - Atlantic: Upper-level westerlies increase, increased vertical wind shear
 - Australia: Convection shifts east, monsoon trough weakens
 - Northwest Pacific (west of 160°E): Monsoon trough shifts away from area

- Increased frequency :

- NW Pacific (east of 160E): Monsoon trough shifts into this area
- NE Pacific (near Hawaii): Increased convection due to warmer SSTs

(Gray 1984, Chen et al. 1998; Chan 2000; Chia and Ropelewski 2002; Wang and Chan 2002; Wu et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2005; Camargo et al. 2007, ...)

ENSO predictability

Nino 3 prediction skill



- Very high levels of predictability even 6 months ahead
- Easier to forecast events from NH Summer

(ENSO cycle is usually already established and persists until the following spring)

- ENSO forecasts are difficult from Feb.~April, called the "spring predictability barrier"
 - Relatively weak coupling between the ocean and atmosphere during boreal spring
 - Phase locking of the ENSO to the annual cycle

(Zebiak and Cane 1987; Battisti 1988; Goswami and Shukla 1991; Blumenthal 1991; Webster and Yang 1992, Webster 1995; Balmaseda et al 1995; Torrence and Webster 1998; An and Wang 2001; Jin et al. 2009)

ENSO predictability

Spring predictability barrier



* V. Toma, GATech

* Yan Xue, NOAA/CPC

Retrospective forecast system

	ECMWF Sys4	NCEP CFSv2
Hindcasts period	1981-2010	1982-2010
Initialized	1 st of each month	Every 5 th day
Ensembles	15/month	24~28/month
Forecast lead time	7 month	9 month
Atm. IC	ERA interim	CFS Reanalysis
NH Winter (DJF)	Nov 1 st (15 ens)	Oct 23 rd ~ Nov. 7 th (16 ens)
NH Summer (JJA)	May 1 st (15 ens)	April 21 st ~ May 6 th (16 ens)

Seasonal prediction skill

NH Summer (JJA)



Seasonal prediction skill

<u>NH Summer (JJA)</u>



ERA interim and CFSR

Correlations: 2m Temperature (DJF, 1982-2009)





• This comparison illustrates the uncertainty in the reanalysis datasets, which by extension contributes to uncertainty in the prediction analysis.

Seasonal prediction and ENSO



ENSO prediction

SST variability (DJF)



ENSO prediction



ENSO prediction

Temperature changes [K/year]

WINTER SST TREND OBS a) 60N 30N EQ 0.05 30S 0.04 180 90E 90W 0.03 b) Sys4 0.02 60N 0.01 30N 0 EQ -0.01 30S -0.02 90E 180 90W -0.03CFSv2 c) -0.04 60N --0.05 EQ 30S 90E 180 90W

CFSv2: The large warming trend in the eastern ^{60N} Pacific SST is primarily associated with **changes** _{30N} **in satellite observing system** that occurred in 1998/1999 period that were assimilated in the CFSR (Xue et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011). ^{30S}



La Nina winters: 88/89, 98/99, 99/00 and 2007/08

Teleconnection

NAO and PNA index (DJF)

- Large scale regimes in the North Atlantic controlling European surface temperatures.
- NAO responsible for > 30% of variance in winter surface air temperature (Hurrell 1995).
- How well do the models predict the dominant winter climate oscillation?



Teleconnection

2mT anomaly (DJF)

• How well do the models predict the winter temp. over the N. America and northern Europe?



Seasonal prediction skill

System 4 and CFSv2 (DJF)



Central Pacific El Nino

Anomalous SST (Jan-Mar 2010)



"Central Pacific El Nino, Dateline El Nino, Modoki El Nino, Warm pool El Nino, Central Pacific Warming"

- The **zonal shift of SST anomaly pattern** between the eastern and central Pacific is one of the most obvious differences between the two types El Nino.
- Global impact is quite different from the conventional El Nino
- CP El Nino exhibits stronger variance in recent decades.

(Trenberth and Stepaniak 2001, Lakin and Harrison 2005, Ashok et al. 2007, Kug et al. 2009, Kim et al. 2009, Weng et al. 2007, 2009, Taschetto and England 2009, Yeh et al. 2009, Kao and Yu 2009, ...)

Central Pacific El Nino

SST anomalies for El Nino years



DEl Nino after 1990s

Most of the CP El Nino happened in and after 1990, indicating that this types of El Nino is very active in recent decades

* Kim et al. (2009)

Central Pacific El Nino

EP-El Nino

Large scale variability:

140E

Basin-wide thermocline and surface wind variations

CP-El Nino

Local scale variability:

 Confined in the central Pacific and tends to onset, develop, and decay in situ in the CP.

• The underlying dynamics of the CP ENSO seems less dependent on thermocline variations.



* Kao and Yu (2009)

Teleconnection: CP El Nino

Distinct teleconnection pattern



* Weng et al. (2009)

Teleconnection: CP El Nino

Tropical cyclone activity



* Kim et al. (2009, 2011)

Teleconnection: CP El Nino

NH Winter sfc. Temperature (NCEP/NCAR Rean)

EP-El Nino

CP-El Nino



EP El Niños start in 1951, 1957, 1965, 1972, 1976, 1997, 2008 CP El Niños start in 1968, 1977, 1986, 1994, 2002, 2009

Graf and Zanchettin (2012),

"Central Pacific El Nino, the "subtropical bridge", and Eurasian climate (2012, JGR)

Prediction for CP El Nino

a) OBS (ERA int) NH Winter 2mT 60N 30N 2.1 1.8 EQ-1.5 90W 180 90E 0 Sys4 1.2 **b**) 0.9 60N 0.6 30N -0.3 -0.3EQ--0.6 9ÓW 180 90E -0.9 CFSv2 c) -1.2 60N -1.5 SRO -1.8 30N -2.1 EQ-**90E** CP El Nino winters: 1994, 2002, 2004, 2009 180 9ÓW 0

Current SST condition and outlook



DJF 2012/13 SST forecast (issued Aug 2012)



MJO Prediction

	ECMWF Monthly Forecasting system (Hindcast)	NCEP CFSv2
Ensembles	5	3
Forecast lead time	32 days	
Initialization	Once per week	Every day
Hindcast period	2000-2009, Jan-Dec.	
Sample	Total 1080 MJO events	

- Wheeler and Hendon (2004) MJO index (RMM, Real-time Multivariate MJO)
- OBS: NOAA OLR, ERA interim (wind)

MJO Prediction



Models have higher skill than persistence prediction

• For 'All MJO' cases, the useful skill is 28 days for EC and 21 days for CFSv2

•The predictive skill is higher when the MJO is strong in the initial condition

* Kim and Webster (in prep)

MJO Prediction

RMM prediction skill



Prediction skill drops quickly when MJO is initialized in the Indian Ocean (Phase 1)

MJO Life Cycle Composite : OLR & U850 All Season (2000-2010)


MJO Prediction

RMM prediction skill



Evolution of MJO



MJO amplitude



• In forecasts, the MJO amplitude is weaker than observed and decreases faster than observation.

Summary (I)

- ENSO provides one of the strongest sources of interannual variability in the global climate
- Seasonal climate prediction skill (tropics) depends on the ENSO amplitude: Greater in strong ENSO winter than in weak ENSO winter.
- Seasonal predictability of ENSO and ENSO teleconnection signal is handled well in both systems (System4 and CFSv2).
- However, both systems exhibit a strong ENSO spring predictability barrier.

Summary (II)

• Northern Hemisphere extra-tropical climate oscillation (NAO) and seasonal mean surface temperature in extra-tropics are poorly reproduced in both systems.

• EP/CP El Nino have very different resulting teleconnections to the global climate.

• MJO prediction shows extended skill (3-4 weeks) in both systems, especially when the MJO is strong in the initial condition. However, MJO amplitude is weaker than the observation.

• The MC acts as a barrier for propagation of the convective component from the Indian Ocean into the western Pacific in model.

Backup slides

Model bias



Dynamics of the Central Pacific Warming

Evolution of a CPW

- SST anomalies first **appear** around the dateline
- **Develop** and **mature** in a v-shaped structure with anomalies extending into the subtropics of both hemispheres
- Decay in the equatorial central Pacific
- •Below the surface, the CPW is associated with ocean temperature anomalies that develop **in-situ** in the central Pacific
- Both the initiation and termination of the CPW occur in the central Pacific.
- → In contrast to the EP ENSO, the underlying dynamics of the CP ENSO seems less dependent on thermocline variations



Kao and Yu (2009)

Dynamics of the Central Pacific Warming

Evolution of a CPW

- Below the surface, the CPW is associated with ocean temperature anomalies that develop **in-situ** in the central Pacific
- Both the initiation and termination of the CPW occur in the central Pacific.

→ In contrast to the EP ENSO, the underlying dynamics of the CP ENSO seems less dependent on thermocline variations

Subsurface Ocean Temperature



Kao and Yu (2009)

Three Theories for the CP ENSO Dynamics

- Thermocline Dynamic Theory: The same thermocline dynamics as the EP ENSO but with the location of upwelling shifted westward (Ashok et al. 2007).
- □ Equatorial Ocean Advection Theory: Grown by the zonal ocean advection along the equatorial Pacific (Kug et al. 2009).
- Extratropical Forcing Theory: Excited by extratropical forcing and then grown by the equatorial ocean advection (Kao and Yu 2009, Yu et al. 2010, Yu and Kim 2011).

Why does CPW happen?

• **Global warming**: The recent increase in the occurrence of the CPW is related to a weakening of the mean Walker circulation and a flattening of the mean thermocline in the equatorial Pacific, which might be a result of global warming (Yeh et al. 2009, Vecchi et al. 2007)

• Natural multidecadal variability (Newman et al. 2011, McPhaden et al. 2011)

-Extratropical SLP variations can be precursors to CPW. -Extratropical forcing is particularly important to the generation of the CPW (Yu and Kim 2011). **Teleconnection: CP El Nino**

Regressed US Winter (JFM) Temperature (1948-2010)



* Jin-Yi Yu et al.

Teleconnection: CP El Nino



Evolution of MJO phase and amplitude

20 day prediction



• Models has weaker amplitude when MJO is initialized in phase 1, but strong amplitude in phase 5 compared to other phases (similar to the observation)

• In ECMWF, the MJO amplitude is weaker than observation throughout the entire phases