Use of ECMWF products at Météo-France

Mireille Mayoka

Deputy Head of General Forecast Department

Thanks to Bruno Gillet-Chaulet, Nicole Girardot, Fabrice Guillemot, Thierry Dupont ...

13th Workshop on Meteorological Operational Systems 31 October - 4 November 2011



Outline

Use of ECMWF products at Météo-France :

Toujours un temps d'avance

- Severe weather forecast for D+2 and D+3
- Medium and extended range forecast
- Feedback on ecCharts
- Tropical cyclone forecast

Outline

Use of ECMWF products at Météo-France :

- Severe weather forecast for D+2 and D+3
- Medium and extended range forecast
- Feedback on ecCharts
- Tropical cyclone forecast

Thanks to Bruno Gillet-Chaulet, Nicole Girardot and Fabrice Guillemot



Severe weather forecast for D / D+1

- The French « Vigilance » watch map
 - 4 watch levels (colours), for 8 dangerous phenomena, for each administrative unit (department)
 - Operational since 2001, this system is well known (89% in 2010) and has proved generally successful



METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance



Severe weather forecast for D+2/D+3

- Estimation of the risk of severe weather :
 - Which would imply an orange or red level at 24 hours range
 - 4 dangerous phenomena :
 - 🚾 Violent winds,
 - Image: Heavy rain*, and not flood (hydrological services)
 - 💌 Violent thunderstorms,
 - Snow/ice.
- For each day (D+2, D+3), at the scale of administrative regions (more significant than departments)
- For each zone and phenomenon, the forecaster chooses a risk index from :
 - 0 : no risk
 - 1 : unlikely
 - 2 : likely
 - 3 : certain
- => Quantify the risk => Calibrated risk
 - Percentage of forecasts for each index which actually correspond to severe weather events

Pour : Dim 28/02/2010 (J2) Certe des indices de risque (Re) 2 (Re) 4 (



Internal production since November 2007,

modified in 2010 (scale and colors)

- Use of past forecasts, (since <u>Dec. 2004</u> over ¹/₄ of France).
- Comparison to a <u>reference</u> (vigilance level, FA, missed events)
- Example : how much is a weak risk,
 - Over the northwestern regions,
 - For the violent wind parameter,
 - At range D+2?





- Use of past forecasts, (since <u>Dec. 2004</u> over ¼ of France).
- Comparison to a <u>reference</u> (vigilance level, false alarms, missed events)
- Example : how much is a weak risk,
 - Over the *northwestern* regions,
 - For the violent wind parameter,
 - At range D+2?



METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance

- Use of past forecasts, (since <u>Dec. 2004</u> over ¼ of France).
- Comparison to a <u>reference</u> (vigilance level, false alarms, missed events)
- Example : how much is a weak risk,
 - Over the *northwestern* regions,
 - For the violent wind parameter,
 - At range D+2?







- Use of past forecasts, (since <u>Dec. 2004</u> over ¼ of France).
- Comparison to a <u>reference</u> (vigilance level, false alarms, missed events)
- Example : how much is a weak risk,
 - Over the *northwestern* regions,
 - For the violent wind parameter
 - At range D+2?





Internal production issued on 2010/02/25

> Prévision anticipée des phénomènes remarquables élaborée le Ven 26/02/2010 :



Commentaires :

Passage de l'onde de tempête sur le pays selon un axe Vendée/Charentes Ardennes/Lorraine, en passant par les régions du Centre et de l'Ile-de-France.

Les rafales de vent les plus fortes attendues dans un premier temps sur le littoral atlantique de l'ordre de 130 km/h généralisé s'étendront rapidement aux régions de l'intérieur avec des valeurs comprises entre 100 et 130 km/h selon un axe Vendée/Charentes, Touraine, Ile-de-France, Champagne-Ardennes et Lorraine.

A calibrated final product

Available on an internal Webpage

Echelle des risques:



<u>Scale of risks :</u> •Almost no risk •Weak risk <=30% •Medium risk (30-70%) •High risk >70%

Comment



Results and feedback

- Results
 - Capacity to produce relevant information about severe weather events more than 24 hours ahead
 - The forecast reliability is established and will obviously improve day by day
 - The scale of administrative regions seems to be relevant at this range
- Feedback from partners
 - A test production has been held since March 2010 with a few governmental services in order to evaluate the potential usefulness of this type of forecast
 - The national hydrological service is very interested : relevant, useful, interest of confirmation of the risk from D+3 to D+2, interest of no risk
 - The civil protection services don't know how do use this information in their operational work which is focused on the next 24 hours
 - The test is still going on, with other services (CMVOA).

Good results for the main severe weather events in 2010



An internal Website for forecasters

- National forecasters use more and more ensemble products :
 - In addition to deterministic products (multi-model approach)
 - To evaluate the uncertainty of forecast
 - Especially to evaluate the risk of severe weather events
- They compare ensemble products from different sources
 - Météo-France (PEARP)
 - ECMWF (EPS)
 - NCEP
 - CMC
- Products are available on an internal Website « Prévisibilité » (Foreseeability)

Toujours un temps d'avance

- Probabilities
- Percentiles
- Spaghetti diagrams
- Postage stamp maps

Oct 2011

Case 1 : Probabilities and percentiles of RR6 for 19 October 12h (D+2)



- Probabilities and percentiles are complementary products
- Some differences between EPS and PEARP forecasts



Case 1 : Probabilities and percentiles of RR24 for 20 October 0h (D+2/D+3)



Case 1 : Spaghetti diagrams Z500 for 19 October 12h



Case 1 : Postage stamp maps for 19 October 12h



- Quick look on the EPS members
- Can help to see alternative scenarios



Oct 2011

Case 1 : EFI for 19 October



- EFI must draw the attention
- EFI doesn't give absolute values, nor probabilities
- It is necessary to validate with other plots



Case 1 : Severe weather forecast for D+2

Prévision anticipée des phénomènes remarquables élaborée le Lun 17/10/2011 :



Commentaires :

Passage d'une perturbation assez active sur le pays mercredi, pouvant donner de bons cumuls de pluie sur le centre-est.

A surveiller aussi le risque orageux sur le sud-est dans la nuit de mercredi à jeudi.

Pour l'instant, les valeurs prévues sont toutefois peu préocupantes dans les 2 secteurs.





Case 2 : Spaghetti diagrams MSLP

PMER isoligne 1000hPa - Base : 20100111 18h - Ech : 66h - NB runs : 35

PMER isoligne 1000hPa - Base : 20100111 12h - Ech : 72h - NB runs : 51

METEO FRANCE



Case 3 : Spaghettis MSLP for 28 February 2010 Storm *Xynthia*



66h range with EPS, 60h range with PEARP, D+2 :

A little more spread with PEARP, but both models in agreement for location of the depression

IETEO FRANCE



Case 4 – Rain event, 15 June 2010 (1)



Case 4 : Rain event, 15 June 2010 (2)



Probabilities – Rain event, 15 June 2010 (3)



Percentiles – Rain event, 15 June 2010 (1)



METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance

- Q75 charts are often used for severe weather forecast
- In this case, no value>100 mm in EPS Q75 (better than PEARP)

Extreme rain event on Var, 15 June 2010



Total amount of rain over 2 days from 14 June 6h to 17 June 6h





Copyright Météo-France

Extreme rain event on Italy, 15 June 2010



METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance

Outline

Use of ECMWF products at Météo-France :

- Severe weather forecast for D+2 and D+3
- Medium and extended range forecast
- Feedback on ecCharts
- Tropical cyclone forecast

Thanks to Nicole Girardot, Fabrice Guillemot and Bruno Mornet



Medium range forecast for D+4 to D+9

- Synoptic elements are unpredictable at medium range => Forecast at supra-synoptic scale
- Medium range forecast is based mainly on EPS products :
 - Ensemble mean, probabilities (precip, wind, humidity...)
 to define the most likely scenario
 - Spaghetti diagrams Z500 → spread (uncertainty)
 - − EFI charts → risk of severe weather for D+4
 - Other products : EPSplumes and EPSgrams (local products)
- Comparison between different models & runs :
 - EPS 12 & EPS 00
 - IFS for D+4 and D+5
 - EPS monthly forecast
 - NCEP 12 & NCEP 00
- Mixing different kind of information, with the experience of the forecaster
 - => synthesis of the most likely scenario and confidence
 - => use of weather symbols, risk symbols and confidence index.

Oct 2011

Use of ensemble mean Z&T500 at D+4/D+5



Use of probabilistic products



The activity of weather disturbances can be estimated by probabilistic products of rain risk

Raw probabilities are calculated as follow : Proba 24h (precip.>1mm) = nb members (precip>1mm) / total nb members

Products available on Synergie workstations



Use of calibrated probabilistic products (1)



Use of calibrated probabilistic products (2)



- Calibrated probabilities : related to the observations
- Interactive probabilities : choice of the threshold
- Products available on the internal Website



Spread and confidence index

- The spread indicates the « uncertainty » (« envelope of solutions »):
 - Low spread : great confidence, small error
 - High spread : weak confidence, but not necessary large error !
 - Spread can depend on the parameter
 - The uncertainty increases generally with the range, but not always
- EPS products used to analyse spread :
 - Spaghetti diagrams Z500 (geopotential)
 - EPSplumes, EPSgrams (local products)
- Confidence index used for D+4, D+5 and D+6/D+7 :
 - Global index for general public : a subjective measure of "uncertainty"
 - Scale from 1 (very weak confidence) to 5 (very strong confidence)
 - First, the index is obtained by analysing objectively the spread and the stability concerning the supra-synoptic scenario, and also the uncertainty of the weather forecast (ex : uncertainty with warm blocks in altitude concerning low level clouds)
 - Second, subjective adjustments taking account of the range (comparison with the average confidence index for this range).



Oct 2011

EPS spaghettis Z500 (1)



EPS spaghettis Z500 (2)


EPS spaghettis MSLP



EPSplumes : local products



EPSgrams : local products



EPSgrams : local products

EPS Meteogram Toulouse 43.7°N 1.5°E (EPS land point) 140 m Deterministic Forecast and EPS Distribution Wednesday 19 October 2011 00 UTC

Total Cloud Cover (okta)

Total Cloud Cover

Total precipitations

10 m Wind Speed

2m Temperature

Oct 2011



Stability of EPS runs

Example of 5 successive runs of EPS for Z 500 (Synergie workstations)



- Comparison of successive EPS runs for a defined field : ensemble mean ZT500, T850, MSLP
- A good stability indicates a good confidence
- A low stability generally indicates a low predictability
- But a significative change on the last run can indicate a relevant change in forecast.







Oct 2011

Forecast for 24-25 October 2011



METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance

EPS : Proba RR24 sup seuil - Base : 20111020 0h - Ech : 132h - NB runs : 51

Oct 2011

Forecast for 24-25 October 2011



Symbols used for D+4 to D+7

Weather symbols

-¢-	Mainly sunny, clear or slightly cloudy sky (summer)
—————————————————————————————————————	Still weather with some haze or fog in the morning
	Mainly foggy, thick low clouds (winter)
Å	Unsettled weather without rain
Å	Mainly cloudy sky
	Mainly cloudy sky with some rain
	Unsettled weather with sparse showers
	Unsettled weather with showers
	Mainly rainy weather
	Heavy rainfall

Risk symbols

<u>A</u>	High risk of thunderstorms (generalized)
LOC	Local risk of thunderstorms
4 MONT	Risk of thunderstorms on mountain
$\square \land \blacksquare$	Risk of snow on plain
	Risk of snow on mountain

Wind symbols

>	Moderate wind (gusts <35-40 kt) For D4 and D5
>>>	Strong wind (gusts >=40 kt)



Example of internal production for D+4



Example of D+6





EPS medium range forecast verification

Subjective verification of EPS ensemble mean (Z500 and MSLP) over the period Oct 2010 / Sept 2011 (last year) :



Supra-synoptic mark given by forecasters (forecast/analysis) :

Toujours un temps d'avance

A : Very good B : Good

C : Bad

D: Very bad

Oct 2011

Automatic forecast verification for D+6/D+7

Automatic verification of weather charts issued for D+6/D+7, compared to analysed weather charts

- Analysis for each area of weather forecast :
 - Average of 2 marks : one for cloudiness, one for precipitations
 - Malus (negative) for snow, thunderstorms, nature of precipitations, frequency of greyness
 - \rightarrow Final mark for the area
- Medium range mark over France :
 - Average of all marks, taking account of the number of departments in each area
 - Malus (negative) for wind if necessary
- Rules for giving marks :
 - Marks are given between 0 (failed forecast) and 10 (perfect forecast)
 - An error in cloudiness forecast is less strictly marked than an error in precipitations forecast
 - The mark given for a non-detection is as strict as for a false alert.



Automatic forecast verification for D+6/D+7 (from 22 Aug.2011 to 11 Oct. 2011)



Automatic forecast verification for D+6/D+7 (from Oct. 2009 to Sept. 2011)



METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance

Oct 2011

Conclusion on medium range forecast

- Good signal for the large scale, got from the EPS products, complemented by IFS and other ensemble products
- Good interpretation of the forecasters :
 - Based on production of weather symbols
 - Also for severe weather events
- Interest of a human interpretation :
 - Synthesis of global and local data
 - Synthesis of the most likely weather-type
 - To bring out risk of dangerous phenomena (strong winds, snow, thunderstorms, heavy rain)



Outline

Use of ECMWF products at Météo-France :

- Severe weather forecast for D+2 and D+3
- Medium and extended range forecast
- Feedback on ecCharts
- Tropical cyclone forecast

Thanks to Thierry Dupont, Nicole Girardot, Philippe Caroff, Matthieu Plu, Ghislain Faure



Feedback on ecCharts (1)

- Forecasters of the National Forecast Center have tested the new ECMWF Website ecCharts/Forecasters during Summer 2011
 - Test by almost 20 forecasters
 - 11 forecasters filled an evaluation form
- Interesting tools
 - Map navigation and zoom tools
 - Data availability menu



Time navigator (change of valid time or base time), but too slow



Feedback on ecCharts (2)

- Interesting tools and products
 - City-finder
 - Time series
 - Meteograms
 - Interactive probabilities maps
 - Principle of layers
- Tools which should be improved :
 - Animation : it sould be possible to choose the step and start/end of the animation loop
 - Layers : it should be possible to adjust the transparency of the layers, and to display isolines (instead of colors)
 - Bigger characters in the menus







Feedback on ecCharts (3)

- Missing tools and products :
 - Spaghetti diagrams (Z500 and MSLP)
 - Vertical profiles and cuts
 - Superposition of several runs
 - Several display windows
- Conclusion :
 - Several interesting tools and products
 - Complementary to other tools (Synergie workstation, internal Website)
 - Needs to be improved for operational forecasting (display speed, other products)



Outline

Use of ECMWF products at Météo-France :

- Severe weather forecast for D+2 and D+3
- Medium and extended range forecast
- Feedback on ecCharts
- Tropical cyclone forecast

Thanks to Thierry Dupont, Nicole Girardot, Philippe Caroff, Matthieu Plu, Ghislain Faure



Tropical cyclone watch in the world



A specific organization, under control of World Meteorological Organization (WMO) for managing a major natural hazard : 6 RSMC (Regional Specialized Meteorological Centres) and 6 TCWC (Tropical Cyclone Warning Centres).

RA = Regional Associations



The RSMC / Tropical Cyclones of La Réunion



- An international responsibility of Météo-France
 - towards the 15 countries members of the Tropical Cyclone Committee for the South-West Indian Ocean,
 - with a view to reducing the loss of life and mitigating the damage to property caused by tropical cyclones.



The 3 main missions of the RSMC of La Réunion

- Operational : to issue informations on all tropical disturbances tracking in the area
- Research : to improve the numerical models and to develop tools adapted to TC forecast
- Training : organization of training courses, forecasters' exchanges



Tropical cyclone forecast : challenges

- Challenges of tropical cyclone forecast :
 - Cyclogenesis
 - Future track
 - Intensity evolution
 - Consequences (distribution of strong winds, heavy rainfall, cyclonic swell and storm sturge)
- The RSMC of La Réunion (Météo-France) sends tropical cyclone track and intensity forecasts (up to 120 hours range now) to the meteorological services
- National meteorological services issue forecasts and warnings at national scale.



GAEL, 06/02/2009, 06 UTC 10 minutes average wind~ 80 kt

Track forecast is an essential data element !



Use of spaghetti diagrams for cyclogenis forecast

- EPS spaghettis MSLP, base 5 February 2011 12h
- Cyclogenesis of TC Bingiza





Tropical cyclone track forecast

- The main tool for track forecast : NWP
- Models available for TC forecast :
 - Arpege, Aladin-Réunion
 - IFS (ECMWF), UK-MetOffice
 - US models : GFS, GFDN, JTWC consensus
- Ensemble products :
 - EPS (CEPMMT), PEARP, NCEP, CMC, MOGREPS (UKMO) since last

 In spite of huge improvements, deterministic track forecasts remain tainted with important errors



Oct 2011

Tropical cyclone track forecast errors (RSMC of La Réunion)



Conclusion : the quality of track forecast remains a limiting factor → importance of a warning system.



The ensemble prediction : a tool for a better estimation of the forecast uncertainty

50

40

30

20

10

- The EPS products have been proved reliable :
- for track forecasts
- and also for an estimation of the forecast uncertainty.
- Both are important for risk managers and public agencies.





On line forecasts from different ensembles including EPS are available on an external Website for the South West Indian Ocean Meteorological Centres.



Track of TC GAMEDE from 24th February to 1st March 2007



Forecast tracks from the ECMWF ensemble prediction for TC GAMEDE (22-27 February 2007)



Ensemble-based uncertainty circles around track forecasts

- Several tropical cyclone forecast centres issue an uncertainty information around their official track forecasts :
 - generally using the climatological distribution of position error
 - such methods are not able to convey an information that is case-dependent
- Therefore, the RSMC of La Réunion has developed a new technique :
 - to measure and to display the uncertainty around its official track forecast until to 3 days lead time
 - with uncertainty circles based on EPS.



Thierry Dupont², Matthieu Plu¹, Philippe Caroff² and Ghislain Faure¹,
2011 : Verification of ensemble-based uncertainty circles around tropical cyclone track forecasts, American Meteorological Society, Weather and Forecasting, Volume 26, Number 5 (October 2011).
(1) Laboratoire de l'Atmosphère et des Cyclones
(2) Service de prévision cyclonique (DIRRE/CYC



Construction of uncertainty circles

- For each range of EPS forecast :
 - Radius from EPS-mean position is calculated as x% of the EPS members are inner the circle (EPS forecast accuracy was calibrated at first)
 - This probabilistic circle is translated around RSMC forecasted position.



Construction of uncertainty cones

- The uncertainty circles correspond to calibrated probabilities of 75% (calibration with Brier scores)
- The succession of circles form an uncertainty cone :





Graphic Production of the uncertainty circles

 CXML file with RSMC position and calibrated EPS accuracy is produced and vizualized thanks to Synergie Cyclone.



Verification of EPS-based uncertainty circles

- The verification of this technique has showed that the probabilistic forecasts have better scores than the climatology
- Secondly, the skill of uncertainty circles built by fixing the calibrated probability at 75% - at detecting the small and the large error values is assessed :
 - At least until the 3-days term for large errors
 - Only until 2-days lead time for small radii
- The forecaster will keep the choice of the cone size
- Perspectives :
 - To evaluate and compare other ensemble forecasts (PEARP, MOGREPS...)
 - Other forms than a circle ? Ellipsis ...
 - Towards a strictly probabilistic approach ? ...



Thank you for attention

October 2011

