Institute of Earth Sciences

Fire and the carbon cycle

Guido van der Werf, Louis Giglio, Jim Randerson

ESF's "Improved quantitative fire description with multi-species inversions of observed plumes" exploratory workshop



2 of 10: Introduction

- Simulate the role of fire in the contemporary global carbon cycle using satellite-derived information on

 fire location and timing
 vegetation productivity
- Led to the global fire emissions database (GFED) based on
 - modeled fire carbon losses
 - emission factors (Andreae and Merlet, 2001, GBC + updates)



3 of 10: Approach

fAPAR (MODIS, AVHRR)



Biogeochemical model (CASA)



Burned area (log scale) MODIS, ATSR, VIRS



Biogeochemical model (CASA) with fire module



vrije Universiteit amsterdam 555

4 of 10: Separating deforestation fires from other fires



vrije Universiteit *amsterdam*

Morton et al, 2008, GCB

5 of 10: Emissions pattern (1997 – 2008)



Global fire emissions database version 3, g C / m² / year



6 of 10: Fuel consumption pattern (1997 – 2008)



Global fire emissions database version 3, g C / m² burned / year



7 of 10: Regional contributions (2001 – 2008)



Global fire emissions database version 3, carbon losses



8 of 10: Regional contributions (II)



Global fire emissions database version 3, BA = burned area, C = carbon



9 of 10: Interannual variability (1997 – 2008)



±0.4 Pg C / year from deforestation and peat fires (net carbon losses)



Burned area × fuel load × combustion completeness × emission factor

Burned area
New multi-year burned area (MODIS, L3JRC). Likely underestimate
500m or 1×1 km resolution, is that enough? Geo-location issues
Fuel load, CC
Current estimates compare reasonable against literature for fuel build-up
Large uncertainty in depth of burning into soil (boreal region, peat areas)
Heterogeneity: 0.5°×0.5° not good enough (deforestation, grazing), but is 500 × 500 meter? Input datasets? Parameterization?
Emission factors
Emission factors: large seasonal and spatial variability currently not taken into

•Emission factors: large seasonal and spatial variability currently not taken into account. For several species dearth of measurements

Yes, bottom-up fire emissions estimates are improving (wrong for the wrong reason \rightarrow wrong for the right reason), *but imho emissions estimates on a global scale are unlikely to come within a 30% uncertainty range in the near future*





9 of 10: Interannual variability (1997 – 2008)

(Woody) savanna and grassland fires



Global fire emissions database version 3, g C / m² burned / year

