Impacts of flow-dependent background-error covariances in the NCEP Global Forecast System

Jeff Whitaker

NOAA/ESRL







Questions

- What is limiting impact of flow-dependent covariances?
 - Observational density? Model error?

Experiments

- T62L28, 54-member LETKF with NCEP GFS.
- Vary observing network (everything, or only surface pressure).
- Limit impact of model error by using "perfect" model (ensemble mean first guess from all-obs assimilation == 'truth').
- · Static vs. flow-dependent ensemble.

Experiments (more details)

- · 'ob-error localization' limits impact of obs. with distance from state variable.
- Obs for Jan and Feb 2004 (full set, and surface-pressure only subset).
- Vertical level of radiance == maximum of weighting function.
- Model error parameterized with additive inflation (random samples from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis tendencies).

Experiments (yet more details)

- 1. 'Flow-dependent' B full LETKF as described.
- 2. 'Flow-independent' B ensemble perturbations constructed from a random sample from one month run of (1). Prior from full ensemble mean, or single run from ensemble mean analysis.
- 3. 'Perfect model' B substitute N(Hx^b,R) from (1) for real observations.







Effect of Flow-Dependent Covariances (p_s-only - "Perfect" model)



Effect of Flow-Dependent Covariances (all obs)



Conclusions

- In EDA systems, impact of flowdependent B depends on how well observed the phenomena of interest is.
- Impact is limited by model error (especially in tropics). Situation should improve as models are improved and/or better representations of model error are developed.