# Numerical weather prediction at high latitudes

### Nils Gustafsson

SMHI, Norrköping, Sweden

## Material for this talk was contributed by:

Tage Andersson, Per Dahlgren, Stefan Gollvik, Xiang-Yu Hans Huang, Lars Meuller, Patrik Samuelsson, Harald Schyberg, Sander Tijm, Vibeke W. Thyness, Frank T. Tveter and Xiaohua Yang

# High latitude NWP - outline of talk

- The HIRLAM-A program
- The reference HIRLAM forecasting system
- Verification scores
- The Nordic temperature problem a new snow scheme
- (Turbulence in stable boundary layers)
- Snow band simulations (very old slides!)
- Data assimilation developments (4D-Var, AMSU-A and AMSU-B over sea ice)



# Three recommendations by the international evaluation of HIRLAM strategy for the HIRLAM-A program

- Continue develop synoptic scale forecasting systems based on a merge of the HIRLAM and ALADIN systems.
- Develop a meso-scale forecasting system in collaboration with ALADIN
- Develop EPS, first for synoptic scale forecasting

(shorter than the original text)

# The HIRLAM synoptic scale forecasting system

- The semi-implicit, semi-Lagrangian gridpoint model
- The 3-Dimensional Variational data assimilation; 3D-Var → 4D-Var
- The incremental Digital Filter initialization (to disappear with 4D-Var)

# Physical parameterizations in the HIRLAM gridpoint forecast model

- CBR turbulence (Cuxart et al.)
- STRACO condensation, clouds and convection (Sass); Rasch-Kristjansson + Kain-Fritsch as an option (used by SMHI)
- Savijärvi-Sass-Rontu radiation
- ISBA surface and soil → new scheme including canopy temperature and snow

## Use of observations in HIRLAM

- **Operationally**: TEMP, PILOT, SYNOP, SHIP, AIREP, AMDAR, DRIBU, SATOB and AMSU-A radiances
- Being implemented: Scatterometer winds
- Trials with: AMSU-B radiances, AMSU-A/B over ice and land, groundbased GPS (zenith and slant delays), MODIS winds, radar radial winds

#### Verification of 2 m temp. forecasts against Swedish SYNOPs



Verification of 2 m temp. forecasts against Swedish SYNOPs

(after post-processing with Kalman filter)



#### Verification of precip. forecasts against Swedish SYNOPs



Verification of 10 meter wind forecasts against

#### Swedish SYNOPs



# The Nordic temperature problem

- A new surface and snow parameterization scheme by Stefan Gollvik and Patrik Samuelsson (similar for HIRLAM and the Rossby Center regional climate model)
- A HIRLAM 1D model study by Sander Tijm



#### The Nordic cold winter temperature problem







# The Nordic cold winter temperature problem



The Nordic winter cold temp. is less of a problem with new snow scheme

H634\_snow\_1S40



# Spring problem

Daily cycle wrong, min T too high,

max T too low



# **Spring problem – fluxes wrong**



# Spring problem (6.2.1)

H621\_1S150\_spring







# Spring no longer a problem! (6.3.4snow)



# Spring no longer a problem! (6.3.4snow)



# Simulation of snow-bands in the Baltic Sea

From

Andersson and Gustafsson, 1994:

Coast of Departure and Coast of Arrival: Two important concepts for formation of convective snowbands over seas and lakes. MWR

•Snowbands in January 1987; Why does it snow so much in Oskarshamn?

•Simulations with HIRLAM 22 km, 16 levels

•Sensitivity to changes in coastlines, ice borders, orography heights and surface roughness



Reference experiment: No changes to coastlines etc.



### **Experiment 1:**

Removal of the Bay of Finland

(Coast of departure)



# **Experiment 2:**

Removal of the Stockholm peninsula

(Coast of arrival)



# **Experiment 3:**

Removal of the Bay of Finland and the Stockholm Peninsula



#### GUSTAFSSON, N.: NWP AT HIGH LATITUDES

# **Experiment 4:**

Widening of the "Baltic Sea"



# Experiment 5:

Flat orography in Southern Scandinavia



# **Experiment 5:**

Flat orography in Southern Scandinavia



# **Experiment 6:**

Flat orography + constant surface roughness length in Southern Scandinavia



### 4-dimensional variational data assimilation



# **Development of HIRLAM 4D-Var**.

<b>1995-1999</b> :	Tangent linear and adjoint of the Eulerian spectral	
	adiabatic HIRLAM. Sensitivity experiments. Tangent	
	linear and adjoints of the full HIRLAM physics.	
2000:	First experiments with "non-incremental" 4D-Var.	
2001-2002:	Incremental 4D-Var. Simplified physics packages	
	(Buizza vertical diffusion and Meteo France package).	
2003-2004:	Semi-Lagrangian scheme (SETTLS), outer loops	
	(spectral or gridpoint HIRLAM) and multi-incremental	
	minimization.	
2005-2006:	Extensive tests of 4D-Var. Poor results $\rightarrow$	
	BUG correction → Good results!	
	Continued extensive tests. Weak digital filter constraint. Control of lateral boundary conditions.	

#### Single observation experiment with HIRLAM 4D-Var;

What is the effect of a single surface pressure observation increment of -5 hPa at + 5 hours in the assimilation window?

1: In the center of a developing low

2. In a less dynamically active area



# Surface pressure increments for the Danish storm



4D-Var, spectral TL prop. of incr



3D-Var



4D-Var; gp model prop. of incr.

Effects of a -5 hPa surface pressure observation increment at +5 h on the initial wind and temperature increments



NW-SE cross section with temperatures and normal winds



#### Effects of a -5 hPa surface pressure observation increment at +5 h in a less dynamically active area





#### **Recent HIRLAM 4D-Var tests**

•The SMHI 22 km area (306x306x40 gridpoints)

•SMHI operational observations (including AMSU-A and "extra" AMDAR observations)

•6 h assimilation cycle; 3D-Var with FGAT; 6 h assimilation window in 4D-Var; 1 h observation windows

•66 km assimilation increments in 4D-Var (linear grid); 44 km assimilation increments in 3D-Var (quadratic grid)

•Non-linear propagation of assimilation increments

•3 months of data (January 2005, June 2005, January 2006)

# Average upper air forecast verification scores – January 2006



# o3d = 3D-Var o4d = 4D-Var

# Mean sea level pressure forecast verification scores – January 2006





# Time series of mean sea level pressure verification scores – January 2006

o3d = 3dvar o4d = 4D-Var



## 25 January 2006 case



# Assimilating AMSU-A over sea ice in HIRLAM 3D-Var

From Harald Schyberg, Vibeke W. Thyness and Frank T. Tveter



# Norwegian HIRLAM 20km model domain



# Impact of added AMSU-A over sea ice experiment setup

- Experiment period 26 February to 30 Åpril 2005
- HIRLAM 20 km resolution, 3D-Var assimilation,
- Conventional observations and direct use of AMSU with forward model RTTOV

Parallel suites with 6 hr cycling, forecasts up to 48 hrs, to highlight effect of observations over sea ice:

- Reference: No AMSU
- Experiment 1: Upper AMSU-A channels over sea ice only (Ch 1 to 5 only in "passive mode")
- Experiment 2: Both upper channels and surface sensitive channels over sea ice, using simple emissivity estimate (not completed)

## Ref-Exp1 increments, analysis (RMS diff, Z 500 hPa)



# MSLP verification results against EWGLAM list



Verification statistics against observations on EWGLAM list (List of SYNOPs considered reliable over Europe)

•Problem: Scarcity of Arctic conventional observations for verification

•Overall statistics: Positive impact of adding AMSU-A on EWGLAM verification, but impact highly situation dependent



# 14 March 2005 00Z (+24 hrs exp solid and ref dashed)



# 14 March 2005 00Z (analysis exp solid and ref dashed)

# Timeseries of pressure obs (blue dots) and ref (red) /exp (blue) forecasts (18-36hrs) at Bjørnøya



## Conclusions from these impact and case studies

- Generally difficult to measure the impact over the sea ice regions
- Impact can be kept in model for long periods in data-sparse regions.
- Single observations sometimes not sufficient for bringing the model "back on track" rejection by quality control checks
- Verifying with the conventional observation network over Europe, we find that the impact varies with circulation pattern. With general upper flow from the sea ice towards the North Atlantic: cases of significant impact identified.
- Inclusion in operational model runs with HIRLAM being implemented

## Use of lower tropospheric channels

- Large part of the Arctic ice cap comprised of closed, near 100% concentration, sea ice
- Sea ice emissivity is usually more stable in time than typical weather systems
- Can we take advantage of daily maps of sea ice properties (from SSMI) and use first-year (FY) and multi-year (MY) concentrations as predictors for AMSU channel surface emissivity over the inner Arctic?
- Method implemented in IOMASA (starting point for further work in EU project DAMOCLES and possibly EUMETSAT OSI SAF)

## **Emissivities: A first simple approach**



## Estimation of typical FY and MY sea ice emissivities (Toudal, 2005)

Simplified theory for microwave radiative transfer, where the main assumptions are that

- The atmospheric attenuation can be reasonably
- approximated by an absorption coefficient and an effective atmospheric temperature Ta
- The water vapour load is minimal so the main contribution to the absorption is from oxygen
- Then the surface emissivity can be estimated from the measured brightness temperature T<sub>b</sub>



## **Emissivities**

Use OSI SAF FY and MY ice concentrations with typical values (Toudal) of AMSU emissivities for these surfaces:

 $\varepsilon = c_W \varepsilon_W + c_F \varepsilon_F + c_M \varepsilon_M,$ 

 $c_W + c_F + c_M = 1.$ 

AMSU-A channel	First year ice	Multi year ice
1	0.971	0.874
2	0.970	0.829
3	0.928	0.796
4	0.928	0.796
5	0.928	0.796
6	0.928	0.796
7	0.928	0.796
8	0.928	0.796
9	0.928	0.796
10	0.928	0.796
11	0.928	0.796
12	0.928	0.796
13	0.928	0.796
14	0.928	0.796
15	0.913	0.744

## Comparison with constant emissivity, channel 2





## Comparison with constant emissivity, channel 5

### Possbile further developments on emissivities

Could probably improve present method with

- Further tuning and adjustment of emissivities using background departure statistics
- Add regional/seasonal and incidence angle dependence to pure FY and MY AMSU emissivities

But the long-term strategy should probably be a combination of a statistical approach and a surface microphysical

emissivity model

- Better predictors for emissivity than SSMI-based FY and MY concentration retrievals
- Correlations of emissivities between channels exploited more directly
- Emissivity in control variable (implemented at SMHI) with a first guess estimate
- Feedback of obs departures to emissivity predictors
- Include meteorological history in a microphysical model of the sea ice surface (snow, freeze, melt, ...)

### Assimilation of AMSU-B moisture retrievals or AMSU-B radiances over sea ice surfaces?

Work done by

Per Dahlgren, SMHI within

EU-IOMASA.



•Only AMSU-B moisture retrievals have been assimilated so far

•Illustrates the verification problem

•REF:reference experiment, EXP:with assimilation of moisture retrievals

# Impact of the AMSU-B moisture retrieval at different forecast lengths (REF-EXP):

+00h, +24h and +48h



**Differences (EXP-REF) between monthly means** of water vapor at model 30: +00h, +24h and +48h



Differences (EXP-REF) between monthly means of cloudiness at +12h: Low clouds, middle clouds and high clouds



# **Differences (EXP-REF)** between monthly means of 2 meter temp. forecasts (12h)



# Verification of forecasts against Arctic SYNOPs



## **Concluding remarks**

- The new HIRLAM surface/soil/snow scheme seems to "solve" important Nordic temperature forecasting problems (without degrading other features?)
- Convective snow band in the Baltic Sea in January 1987 were sensitive to distribution of land, sea and sea ice.
- HIRLAM 4D-Var seems to provide flow-dependent influence of observations and consistently improved forecast scores.
- More upper air data are needed in the Arctic (for example satellite radiances over sea ice). We need to improve the lower boundary conditions for the assimilation.
- Data impact studies may sometimes be confused by model (and observation) biases.