Future opportunities from MTG and Post-EPS

Johannes Schmetz, Rolf Stuhlmann, Peter Schlüssel and many colleagues

EUMETSAT

Darmstadt, Germany



Content:

- EUMETSAT programmes: current and future
- Current utilisation => best first guess for future
- Meteosat Second Generation
- Evolution to MTG
- EUMETSAT Polar Programme/Metop
- Evolution to Post-EPS
- A look at (or gleaning from) our partner NOAA/NESDIS
- Examples for future opportunities
- Importance of calibration



FROM THE EUMETSAT CONVENTION

- "The <u>primary</u> objective ... is to establish, maintain and exploit European systems of operational meteorological satellites....."
- "A further objective ... is to contribute to the operational monitoring of the climate and the detection of global climatic changes."

EUMETSAT's mission is:

- To deliver cost efficient operational satellite data and products satisfy requirements of its Member States,
- taking into account the recommendations of the World Meteorological Organization.



Current Space Based Components of the Global Observing System





EUMETSAT Programme Planning



Meteosat Second Generation: A breakthrough for meteorology



- Imager with 12 spectral channels
- Full-disk repeat cycle of 15 minutes
- Spatial sampling 3 km (1km for high resolution visible channel)
- On-board calibration for infrared channels
- GERB instrument => radiation
 budget
- Meteosat-8 (2002)
- Meteosat-9 (2005)
- Two more to follow



Twelve spectral channels of Meteosat Second Generation



• so far in space Meteosat-8 and -9



Winds for Numerical Weather Predictions (see also presentation by M. Forsythe on 3 September)



Winds from tracking atmospheric motions

here: 10.8 µm channel

R. Borde, 2006



Observing the cradle of hurricanes: Combination of VIS images from Meteosat- 8 tracks Hurricane Isabel (September 2003)



Fire detection from MSG (=> perspective with MTG)

Forest fires in Greece

Meteosat-9, 25 August 2007, 1200 UTC



Modis on Aura





Meteosat monitors onset of convection

M. König, 2006

Lifted index at 1200 UTC

10.8µm image at 1200 UTC

10.8µm image at 1800 UTC



Example of Convective Cloud Mask Product from MSG



Example of a climate product: Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR) (courtesy M. König)



EC

Bias GERB – SEVIRI: -1.3 to -1.9 Wm⁻²



Future geostationary programme

Meteosat Third Generation (MTG)

Focus is on Numerical Weather Prediction and Nowcasting.

Candidate missions:

- High Resolution Fast Imagery (HRFI) mission.
- Full Disk High Spectral Imagery (FDHSI) mission.
- Infrared Sounding (IRS) mission.
- Lightning Imagery (LI) mission.
- UV-VIS Sounding (UVS) mission.

The need date is 2015. Technical analysis with ESA.

MTG Imagery Missions



- MTG imagery missions served by a Flexible Combined (FC) imager
- Use of in-orbit spare satellite for rapid scan

FDHSI mission (continuation of MSG-SEVIRI): FC imager on the operational satellite in Full Disk mode with 10 min repeat cycle

HRFI mission (continuation of Rapid Scan):

FC imager on fully commissioned in-orbit hot standby in Rapid Scan mode over 1/4 of Full Disk with 2.5 min repeat cycle

| \mathbf{n} | | Coverage | Repeat cycle |
|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| | FDHSI mission | Full Disk | 10 min |
| | HRFI mission | 1/4 FD | 2.5 min |
| | | IE I SAI | |

MTG Imager Requirements

| | Meteosa | at 1 st Gene | ration | Meteosat 2 nd Generartion | | | Meteosat 3 rd Generation | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 'Core' channels | Central wavelength (µm) | Width (FWHM) (µm) | Spatial Sampling (km) | Central wavelength (µm) | Width (FWHM) (µm) | Spatial Sampling (km) | Central wavelength (µm) | Width (FWHM) (µm) | Spatial Sampling* (km) | |
| FC - VIS 0.4 | | | | | | | 0.444 | 0.06 | 1.0 | |
| FC -VIS 0.5 | | | | | | | 0.510 | 0.05 | 1.0 | |
| FC -VIS 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.35 | 2.5 | 0.635 | 0.08 | 3.0 | 0.645 | 0.08 | 0.5 | |
| FC -VIS 0.8 | | | | 0.81 | 0.07 | 3.0 | 0.86 | 0.07 | 1.0 | |
| FC -NIR 0.9 | | | | | | | 0.96 | 0.06 | 1.0 | |
| FC -NIR 1.3 | | | | | | | 1.375 | 0.03 | 1.0 | |
| FC -NIR 1.6 | | | | 1.64 | 0.14 | 3.0 | 1.61 | 0.06 | 1.0 | |
| FC -NIR 2.1 | | | | | | | 2.26 | 0.05 | • 0.5 | |
| FC -IR 3.8 * | | | | 3.9 | 0.44 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 0.40 | 1.0 | |
| FC - IR 6.7 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 1.00 | 2.0 | |
| FC - IR 7.3 | | | | 7.35 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 7.35 | 0.50 | 2.0 | |
| FC - IR 8.5 * | | | | 8.7 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 8.7 | 0.40 | 2.0 | |
| FC -IR 9.7 | | | | 9.66 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 9.66 | 0.30 | 2.0 | |
| FC -IR 10.8 | 11.5 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 10.8 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 10.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | |
| FC -IR 12.0 | | | | 12.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 2.0 | |
| FC -IR 13.3 | | | | 13.4 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 13.3 | 0.60 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Repeat Cycle : | : 30 min | | | | 15 min | | | 10 min | | |

ECMWF Seminar 2007, Recent Developments in the use of Satellite Observations in NWP

MTG Infrared Sounder (IRS)

| | Mission Band | Frequency range cm ⁻¹ | | e Main | Main Contribution | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | IRS-1 IRS-2 IRS-3 IRS-4 IRS-6 IRS-7 | 700 770 980 1070 1600 2000 | 770 980 1070 1210 2000 2175 | Sur | CO ₂ face, Clouds O ₃ face, Clouds | |
| Letter de | Spec.re Full C | hannels es. 0,62 1/ Disk Cove Area Co | erage | Coverage 18°×18° 18°×6° | Repeat cycle 30 min 10 min | |

MTG InfraRed Sounder (IRS)



MTG Infrared Sounder (IRS)

Hyperspectral IR sounding with focus on time evolution of vertically resolved water vapour structures



Priorities IRS Mission

- Atmospheric dynamic variables with high vertical resolution (e.g. water vapour flux, wind profile, transport of pollutant gases)
- More frequent information on Temperature and Humidity profiles for NWP (regional and global)
- Monitoring of instability / early warning of convective intensity
- Cloud microphysical structure
- support chemical weather and air quality applications



Benefits of high-spectral over broad-band measurements!



Total Precipitable Water (TPW) from high-spectral (HES) data much improved over current broadband (GOES-12 + forecast).

Menzel et al. (2007)

| Root Mean Square E | rror |
|--------------------|------|
| Forecast: | 0.40 |
| ABI like + fcst: | 0.35 |
| GOES 12 + fcst: | 0.34 |
| HES + fcst: | 0.16 |



Information content



The relative vertical information is shown for radiosondes, a highspectral infrared sounder and the current broad-band GOES Sounder. The highspectral sounder is much improved over the current sounder.

Figure courtesy of A. Huang



Greatly Improved Atmospheric Motion Vectors with hyperspectral sounder (*Figure courtesy of C. Velden*)

Current GOES PH PV) LON PW = (350, 925)





MTG Lightning Imaging Mission

User Request: detect 90% of lightest events In Cloud (IC), Cloud to Cloud (CC), and Cloud to Ground (CG)



| FOV | 16° Earth Disk ~ 80% of the Full Disk |
|----------------------------------|---|
| IFOV – Spatial Resolution | 10 km (45 degree North) |
| Wavelength | Neutral oxygen line OI(1) at 777.4 nm |
| Integration time | 2ms - 1ms optimised to meet DE and FAR |
| Discharge optical pulse | 0.5ms |
| Energy range | 4 - 400 μ Jm ⁻² sr ⁻¹ |
| Detection Efficiency (DE) | >90% - 40% for any individual event |
| False Alarm Rate (FAR) | < 1 flash/sec (averaged over the full |
| | Earth, assuming 50% cloud cover) |
| | |
| Repeat cycle | continuous (as integration time) |
| Accuracy | intensity better 50% (20% goal) |
| | |

Co-registration HRFI/FDHSI: better than 1 IFOV

event: single CCD-pixel above energy threshold integrated over time (1 - 2 ms)

group: optical pulse associated with a single discharge of a CG return stroke or a recoil streamer of IC/CC

flash : lightning flash, consisting of several discharges - strokes/recoil streamer - separated by 50-300 ms close in space

(65 % of all flashes consists of more than 5 groups)

(90% of all flashes have a discharge event with radiances above 10 μ Jm⁻²sr⁻¹)



Continuation and enhancement of Geostationary Services

| Absorbed Shortwave Radiation | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Active Fire Detection / Monitoring | | | |
| Aerosol/Dust Detection | | | |
| Aerosol Optical Thickness | | | |
| Aerosol Particle Size | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| e Profile | | | |
| ature Profile | | | |
| ormation | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Мар | | | |
| | | | |
| Cloud Coverage | | | |
| Cloud Ice Water Path | | | |
| Cloud Imagery | | | |
| Cloud Layers / Heights and Thickness | | | |
| Cloud Liquid Water | | | |
| Cloud Mask | | | |
| Cloud Optical Depth | | | |
| Cloud Particle Size Distribution | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| All Sky Radiances |
|--|
| Rainfall Potential and Probability |
| Rainfall Rate/ Multisensor QPE |
| Reflected Solar Radiative Flux TOA |
| Scene Analysis |
| Sea & Lake Ice/Age |
| Sea & Lake Ice/Concentration |
| Sea & Lake Ice/ Displacement and Direction |
| Sea & Lake Ice/Extent and Characterization |
| Sea Surface Temper <mark>ature</mark> |
| Snow Cover |
| SO ₂ Concentration |
| Surface Albedo |
| Surface Emissivity |
| Total Precipitable W <mark>ater</mark> |
| Total Water Content |
| Turbulence |
| Upward Longwave Radiation at Surface |
| Vegetation Fraction LAI |
| Vegetation Index |
| Visibility |
| Volcanic Ash |
| Wind Divergence |
| |

Service supported by:

MTG Flexible Combined Imager

MTG Infrared Sounder MTG Lightning Imager UMETSAT ------

"Mesoscale" Atmospheric Motion Vector Algorithm (courtesy J. Mecikalski)



Convective initiation (courtesy J. Mecikalski)

Satellite data valid at: 2000 UTC 4 May 2003



These are 1 hour forecasted CI locations!

• Satellite-based CI indicators provided *30-45 min advanced notice* of CI in E. and N. Central Kansas.

• Methods provide ~65% POD scores for 1-hour convective initiation.



MTG will provide continuity of EUMETSAT Services



Polar-orbiting Satellites (Metop)

EUMETSAT Polar System (EPS)



EUMETSAT Polar System (EPS):

- a series of three Metop satellites
- operate over at least 14 years.
- Metop A launched in October 2006
- Metop also contributes to oceanography, environmental observations and fosters research



EUMETSAT Polar System: Space Segment Metop Satellite, Instruments and Missions



Metop instruments: Continuity + heritage + novel technology

- Continuity:
- - Imaging => AVHRR (NOAA)
- - Sounding => HIRS (NOAA), MHS, AMSU-A (NOAA)
- •
- Science heritage:
- - GOME-2 => ozone, aerosol, trace gases (ESA)
- - ASCAT => ocean surface winds (ESA)
- Novel:
- Hyperspectral sounding => IASI (CNES)
- Radio-occultation => GRAS

- => Initial Joint Polar System with NOAA



Global imaging



IASI

• Covered by dedicated talk by P. Schlüssel



GOME-2 Ozone measurements

Provided courtesy of DLR (O3MSAF) http://wdc.dlr.de/sensors/gome2/index.html



Winds from ASCAT compared with ECMWF

ASCAT: 20061027 17:30Z lat lon: 20.00 -120.00





Courtesy, ESA, 2006

Level-2 processing at OSI-SAF, KNMI



Winds over polar regions (composite from MODIS), Key et al. 2003 \Rightarrow Large positive impact on forecasts

need to derive winds from AVHRR



EUMETSAT Strategic Guidelines for Post-EPS

EUMETSAT will remain committed, as a minimum and top priority, to the mid - morning sounding mission

There is a joint commitment between EUM Member States and NOAA for a future Polar System (JPS)

Possible EUMETSAT contribution to a JPS fully open:

- instruments across the various orbits;
- satellites on different orbits; etc.

EUMETSAT will keep responsibility for at least one end-to-end system

Need date for the core mission with instruments for Atmospheric Temperature and Humidity Sounding 2018 (1st piority), followed by the remaining missions in 2020


Future polar programme Post-EPS

For Post - EPS the <u>user needs</u> in the following areas are considered as result of <u>User Consultation through Expert Groups</u>:

Atmospheric Chemistry; Atmospheric Sounding and Wind Profiling; Climate Monitoring; Cloud, Precipitation and Large Scale Land Surface Imaging; Ocean Surface Topography and Imaging; Nowcasting and NWP.

The need date is 2019 and the mission will be balanced with GMES and GEO needs. Joint technical analysis with ESA.

Post-EPS Candidate Missions

| Name | Rank |
|--|------|
| High-Resolution Infrared Sounding (IRS) | 3 |
| Microwave Sounding (MWS) | 3 |
| Scatterometry (SCA) | 3 |
| VIS/IR Imaging (VII) | 3 |
| Microwave Imaging (MWI) - Precipitation | 2 |
| Microwave Imaging (MWI) - Ocean and Land | 2 |
| Radio Occultation Sounding (RO) | 2 |
| Nadir viewing UV/VIS/NIR - SWIR Sounding (UVNS) | 1 |
| Doppler Wind Lidar (DWL) | 1 |
| Multi-viewing, Multi-channel, Multi-polarisation Imaging (3MI) | 1 |
| Dual View Radiometry (DVR) | 1 |
| Radar Altimetry (ALT) | 1 |

Note: Rank value 3: highest priority

EUMETSAT -----

'Near' simultaneous observations from space for operational Earth observation – Example: The A-Train (courtesy NASA)





Thought on a deployment secenario: 'Near' simultaneous observations from polar orbit for operational Earth observation:

- 4-D Var assimilation makes need for distribution of observations over time less critical
- For process studies and research near simultaneous observations are essential => this will advance understand and utilisation of data
- Trains of satellites might be an option for operational observations ... serves operational (NWP) requirements and fosters research/utilisation



Meteosat-8 monitors deep convective clouds



Red pixels: T6.2 > T10.8

How can this be explained?

Cloudsat explains physics in areas with T6.2 > T10.8 (from Cloudsat website and adapted by Chung et al., 2007)



Input data for IASI simulated spectra for a tropical atmosphere





IASI simulation by X. Calbet, personal ommunication



IASI simulated spectra for a tropical atmosphere



Latitude: 1.68°

A hyperspectral sounder in a geostationary orbit could vertically slice and track the moisture outflow in tropical convective regions

 \Rightarrow an important process in the global water cycle \Rightarrow e.g. moistening of the UTLS

IASI simulation by X. Calbet, personal communication



Reasons behind improvements in NWP due to satellite data *(from Uccellini, 2007)*

- Improvement due to a balance among
 - Observations
 - Data Assimilation & Model technology
 - Computing resources
- Estimated 30 40% of improvement from observations (principally global LEO satellite data) and 60 - 70% from data assimilation and modeling techniques and computing resources



Need to foster utilisation and continuous development has been recognised: => De-centralised applications ground segment: Satellite Application Facilities (SAF)

- Support to Nowcasting and Very Short Range Forecasting
- Ocean and Sea Ice
- Climate Monitoring
- Numerical Weather Prediction
- Land Surface Analysis
- Ozone & Atmospheric Chemistry Monitoring
- GRAS Meteorology
- Support to Operational Hydrology and Water Management
- => BENEFITS:
- Makes use of European expertise,
- Fosters cooperation and utilisation,
- Maximises return on investment



The importance of good satellite calibration => GSICS (Global Space-based Inter-Calibration System)

- To improve the use of space-based global observations for weather, climate and environmental applications through operational inter-calibration of satellite sensors.
- Improve global satellite data sets by ensuring observations are well calibrated through operational analysis of instrument performance, satellite intercalibration, and validation over reference sites
- Provide ability to re-calibrate archived satellite data with consensus GSICS approach, leading to stable fundamental climate data records (FCDR)
- Ensure pre-launch testing is traceable to SI standards
- => Under WMO Space Programme
 - GSICS Implementation Plan and Program formally endorsed
 - at CGMS 34 (11/06)



GSICS: Intercalibrating MSG with IASI



IASI – like instruments will be excellent reference for calibration => climate monitoring

| Channel | ∆T IASI – Meteosat-8* | ∆T IASI – Meteosat-9 * |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| IR3.9 | -0.17 | -0.20 |
| WV6.2 | -0.24 | -0.40 |
| WV7.3 | -0.51 | -0.14 |
| IR8.7 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| IR9.7 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| IR10.8 | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| IR12.0 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| IR13.4 | 0.44 | 1.7 |

*Uncertainty 0.1 – 0.2 K



Conclusion (1)

- Operational satellites do provide important contribution to meteorological services
- Need for continuous development of utilisation techniques (e.g. algorithms, timeliness, interpretation, ...)
- Future satellite missions hold promise for improved weather forecasting, better climate monitoring and better understanding of physical processes
- Realisation of future satellite systems is result of competing and complementary interests from: i) Existing operational requirements, ii) Science and anticipated future applications, iii) Technical constraints (feasibility), iv) Political considerations and v) Affordability



Conclusions (2)

- EUMETSAT satellite systems (Meteosat and Metop) are key elements of the operational space-based observing system
- Continuity and serving the evolving needs of our Member States has highest priority
- EUMETSAT's International partnership (e.g. the Joint Polar System with NOAA) ensures a European contribution to a Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GEOSS) that are mutually consistent and also cost-effective
- EUMETSAT mandate evolves, therefore a further priority is to develop new activities in operational oceanography and atmosphere monitoring jointly with partners (ESA, NOAA,)
- More information (including SAF links): www.eumetsat.int

