

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Home Affairs FDHA Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss

# The discrete Brier and ranked probability skill scores

Andreas Weigel, Mark Liniger, Christof Appenzeller

Third International Verification Methods Workshop ECMWF, Reading, UK

1 February 2007



### Verification of probabilistic forecasts



#### Ensemble predictions are not truly probabilistic !!



## Verification of probabilistic forecasts



#### Ensemble predictions are not truly probabilistic !!





## □ The RPSS and RPSS<sub>D</sub>

#### □ RPSS<sub>D</sub> for weighted multi-models

#### Conclusions





# The RPSS

#### (Ranked Probability Skill Score)

- Defined over categories (e.g. too cold, normal, too warm)
- Measures degree to which forecasting system outperforms a (typically climatological) reference

$$RPSS = 1 - \frac{\langle RPS \rangle}{\langle RPS_{Cl} \rangle}$$

Deviation of ensemble forecasts from observation



Deviation of climatologic forecasts from observation



The RPSS is negatively biased for small ensemble size !





- □ White noise toy model
- No skill by construction



#### The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores







*Müller* et al. 2005 -> Monte Carlo approach

The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores



#### The debiased RPSS<sub>D</sub>

#### **Analytical solution**

Weigel et al. 2007a





## Special case: The debiased BSS<sub>D</sub>

#### **Analytical solution** Weigel et al. 2007a $\langle BS \rangle$ $BSS_D =$ **Brier score:** Two categories with $\frac{1}{M} \cdot p \cdot (1$ prob p and (1-p) (-p)D

#### **D** is *intrinsic (un)reliability* of EPS



## The debiased RPSS<sub>D</sub>

- □ White noise toy model
- No skill by construction



#### The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores



## The debiased BSS<sub>D</sub>

- □ ECMWF System 2 seasonal forecasts (T2m)
- □ 2 equiprobable forecast categories





The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores



#### □ The RPSS and RPSS<sub>D</sub>

#### □ **RPSS<sub>D</sub>** for weighted multi-models

#### Conclusions



#### RPSS<sub>D</sub> for multi-models

$$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{RPSS}_{D} = 1 - \frac{\langle \mbox{RPS} \rangle}{\langle \mbox{RPS}_{Cl} \rangle} \\ \mbox{What is M for multi-models?} & D = \frac{1}{M} \cdot D_{0}(p_{1},p_{2},...,p_{K}) \\ \mbox{N: Number of models} \\ \mbox{M}_{n} : & \mbox{ensemble size of n-th model} \\ \mbox{w}_{n} : & \mbox{weight of n-th Model} \\ \mbox{Weigel et al. 2007b} \end{array}$$

NCCR CLIMATE

Swiss Climate Research

## RPSS<sub>D</sub> for multi-models

- Combining two white noise toymodels (9-member ensembles)
- □ Multi-model with zero skill by construction





The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores

## RPSS<sub>D</sub> for multi-models

- □ Combining two white noise toymodels (9-member ensembles)
- □ Multi-model with zero skill by construction





The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores

## Application (DEMETER data)



#### multi-model better than best participating single model (measured with RPSS)



## Opplication (DEMETER data)



#### multi-model better than best participating single model (measured with RPSS<sub>D</sub>)

#### Both participating single models are highly under-dispersive

Weigel et al. 2006 (Proc. THORPEX)





**RPSS (BSS)** is negatively biased for small ensemble sizes

Bias can be removed by adding the EPS's "intrinsic unreliability" to the climatological reference

=> Debiased RPSS<sub>D</sub> (BSS<sub>D</sub>)

**RPSS<sub>D</sub>** can be generalized to multi-model ensembles by introducing an **effective ensemble size** 

**RPSS** measures actual skill of raw ensemble forecasts

**RPSS**<sub>D</sub> measures "true" skill of forecasting system





Müller WA et al 2005: A debiased ranked probability skill score to evaluate probabilistic ensemble forecasts with small ensemble sizes. *J.Clim.*, **18**, 1513–1523.

- Palmer TN et al 2004: Development of a European multimodel ensemble system for seasonal-to-interannual prediction (DEMETER). *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **85**, 853–872.
- Rajagopalan B et al 2002: Categorical climate forecasts through regularization and optimal combination of multiple GCM ensembles. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **130**, 1792-1811
- Weigel AP et al 2006: Can multi-model combination really enhance prediction skill of probabilistic ensemble forecasts? *Proc. Second THORPEX International Science Symposium (STISS), Landshut, Germany,* 256-257
- Weigel AP et al 2007a: The discrete Brier and ranked probability skill scores. Mon. Wea. Rev. 135, 118–124.
- Weigel AP et al 2007b: Generalization of the discrete Brier and ranked probability skill scores for weighted multimodel ensemble forecasts. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* (accepted)









The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores

#### The debiased RPSS<sub>D</sub>

#### *Müller* et al. 2005 **Solution** Weigel et al. 2007a $\langle \text{RPS} \rangle$ $RPSS_D = 1$ RPS<sub>Cl</sub> **Special case 1:** $\frac{K^2-1}{6K}$ K equiprobable Dforecast categories

M: Ensemble size



## The meaning of D



#### "Intrinsic (un)reliability"

The Discrete Brier and Ranked Probability Skill Scores



## The BSS<sub>D</sub> for multi-models

- System 2 forecasts combined with GloSea forecasts (1987-2001)
- Verification against ERA40
- T2m for JJA, lead-time 1 month, eastern Europe
- □ 2 equiprobable forecast categories (i.e. Brier Score situation)



## The BSS<sub>D</sub> for multi-models

- System 2 forecasts combined with GloSea forecasts (1987-2001)
- Verification against ERA40
- T2m for JJA, lead-time 1 month, eastern Europe
- □ 2 equiprobable forecast categories (i.e. Brier Score situation)



# Opplication (DEMETER data)

Investigate where multi-model ensembles *locally* outperform the participating single models

Consider two models (ECMWF and UKMO) from the DEMETER data set (Palmer et al., 2004)

Seasonal JJA-forecasts of T 2m

Combine them to weighted multi-model ensembles using the method of Rajagopalan et al. (2002)

Verification from against ERA40 data (1960-2001)

