Prediction of Cirrus Clouds in GCMs

Bernd Kärcher, DLR-IPA

with contributions from Ulrike Burkhardt and Klaus Gierens

Workshop on Parametrization of Clouds in Large-Scale Models

ECMWF Research Department, Reading, 13 November 2006

Stratiform cirrus clouds

Three specific features distinguish cirrus (T < 235 K) from other cloud types:

- high clear-sky supersaturation (S > 1.5) is required to nucleate ice from supercooled aerosols (homogeneous freezing);
- rapid mesoscale temperature fluctuations create cooling rates that drive the nucleation of ice in cirrus;
- long supersaturation relaxation time scales cause the existence of ice in super- and subsaturated conditions.

Compelling observational evidence in support of these features. Basic ingredients of a novel cirrus scheme for GCMs.

Recent advances in GCMs

ECMWF IFS:

Ice supersaturation consistent with prognostic cloud fraction (Tiedtke, 1993), but still using moisture adjustment and bulk-mass microphysics (Tompkins *et al.*, 2006).

60°N 550 30°N 0° 30°S 60°S 135°W 90°W 45°W 45°E 90°E 135°E 0° -0.2 -0.3 -0.25 -0.15 -0.1 -0.05

Figure 1 Difference in high cloud cover (pressure < 450 hPa approximately) between experiments using the new supersaturation scheme and the control, respectively, based on7-member ensemble mean 12month averages.

Associated changes in RF are relevant.

Recent advances in GCMs, cont'd

ECHAM GCM:

Sophisticated microphysics with homogeneous freezing and water vapour diffusion (Lohmann and Kärcher, 2002), but using an inconsistent diagnostic cloud fraction (Sundqvist *et al.*, 1989).



Figure 5. Annual zonal mean latitude versus pressure cross sections of ice water content (mg m⁻³) and ice crystal number concentrations (cm⁻³) for the simulations REF, HOM, and the difference HOM – REF.

Missing link between cloud fraction and microphysics.

Upper tropospheric ice supersaturation

- Plethora of *in-situ*, lidar, radar, and satellite data demonstrate the occurrence of clear-sky S > 1
- Highest S occur within synoptic cold pools due to rapid adiabatic cooling and are consistent with homogeneous freezing





B) AMJ 250hPa

- Homogeneous freezing apparently occurred in most instances
- Low levels of heterogeneous ice nuclei (or lack thereof)
- Uncertainty issues remain, especially with supersaturation inferred from satellite data



Mesoscale temperature fluctuations Approximate length scale [km] 100 10 1 10⁴ ' PSD [K² s/cycle] 10² 10⁰ 228 10⁻² Hoyle et al., 2005 10⁻⁴ ≚__ 225 **10**⁻⁴ 10-3 10-2 **10**⁻¹ frequency [cycle s⁻¹] occurrence frequency 0.8 B 222 0.6 0.4 12 18 20 2 8 14 16 *δ*T ≈ 0.25-1 K 0 6 10 4 0.2 time, h 0.0 10 PSD amplitude [K²] Ever-present background of mesoscale variability (Gary, 2006; Bacmeister et Distribution of cooling rates ω 10^{0} al., 1996; Naström and Gage, 1985) ≈ 2-20 K/ Originate from gravity waves, vary with 0.25K 10⁻¹ altitude, location, season, topography ω dP_o/dω Unresolved in most global models: • 10⁻² Length scales 10-100 km Time scales 10-20 min δT=1K 10⁻³ 10^{-1} 10° 10^{2} 10¹ 10

 ω , K h⁻¹

Homogeneous freezing of supercooled aerosols



- Parametrization available (Kärcher and Lohmann, 2002)
- Cooling rate is key controlling factor for homogeneous frz
- Nucleation source term for prognostic ice number and mass
- Exploratory studies in the ECHAM-GCM



Implication for statistical (PDF-based) schemes

One single PDF of total water mmr *q* is not sufficient to describe cirrus clouds:



At which q is the cirrus cloud boundary located in the PDF ?

How is ice nucleation and sublimation treated in such a framework ?

Cirrus cloud scheme

Separate PDFs for clear-sky and in-cloud total water to allow for time evolution of cloud fraction—presented next

Variables

- q_v grid-mean water vapour mmr
- q_i grid-mean ice water mmr
- c_i grid-mean ice crystal number mr (from nucleation parametrization)
- q_v^c in-cloud water vapour mmr (from diffusional growth equation)
- q_v^e clear-sky water vapour mmr (diagnosed from $q_v = a q_v^c + (1-a) q_v^e$)
- *a* cirrus cloud fraction

Clear-sky PDF



Map Gaussian PDF of mesoscale temperature variability into PDF of S

Essentially neglects mesoscale water vapour variability (increases PDF variance) and adiabatic H₂O partial pressure corrections (decreases variance)

Clear-sky PDF, cont'd

• Portion of dP_S/dS above nucleation threshold S_{cr} determines Δa and Δq_i



- Homogeneous freezing commences at grid-scale $S \sim 1.2$ for $\delta T \sim 1$ K
- Subsaturated conditions need unrealistically high $\delta T > 1.5$ K to push distribution above S_{cr}
- H_2O mass Δq_i deposited on ice during nucleation and initial growth follows from freezing parametrization ($\leq S_{cr}$) and from PDF (> S_{cr})

Clear-sky PDF, cont'd

• Comparison with aircraft data (INCA, stair steps) justifies approach: use $\delta T = 1$ K consistent with observed $\langle \omega \rangle \sim 10$ K/h and $\langle n_i \rangle \sim 1$ cm⁻³



- Prestwick data show cut-off below homogeneous freezing threshold
- Dry modes ***** from observations taken in different air masses

In-cloud PDF

• Guided by aircraft measurements of total water (INCA, stair steps), use observed total $S \sim 1.2$ to determine distribution width Δ_S



At and below $S_{min} \sim 0.7$, ice crystals cannot exist (Hall and Pruppacher, 1976); Ström *et al.* (2003) outline measurement issues.

Cloud scheme

Basic equations for the full cirrus cloud scheme follow consistently from PDFs, supported by a vapour diffusion equation and the freezing parametrization.

Cirrus can respond to changes in local dynamical conditions:

- clear-sky PDF moments are fcns of q_v^e and fluctuation std δT ;
- in-cloud PDF moments are fcns of total water content $q_v^c + q_i/a$ and S_{min} .

Pertinent deficits in GCMs

- Updraught speeds used for nucleation rely on poorly constrained model TKE.
- Missing cloud-scale feedbacks between radiation and dynamics.
- Role of heterogeneous ice nucleation.
- Subgrid-scale water vapour fluctuations enhance cloud fraction increase.
- Cirrus ice from different sources with different properties is not tracked separately (stratiform, convective, mixed-phase, contrail cirrus).



Aircraft contrail cirrus alter the regional radiative balance and could make up a significant portion of high cloudiness in the future

Courtesy of Bob d'Entremont, AER

PDF for joint temperature and water vapour fluctuations (T42)



- New analysis based on 9 years of MOZAIC data (1995-2003).
- Data shown are for $T_0 = 220$ K and two different mean humidity states S_0 .
- Mean temperature std $\delta T = 0.82$ K, averaged over all S₀.

Impact of clear-sky mesoscale water vapour variability

 Add Gaussian random H₂O fluctuations and adiabatic corrections to pure MTF, evaluate relative change in predicted cloud fraction in freezing conditions.



• Impact cannot be ignored for $\delta p_v / p_{v0} > 0.03 - 0.08$.

Prognostic contrail cirrus

Contrail formation, accumulation, spreading, mixing, competition for condensate, evaporation, precipitation, persistence and advection over large distances

- Moisture, cirrus ice, and contrail cirrus ice are distributed in clear-sky and cloudy regions.
- Processes affecting contrail cirrus fraction *b*:



Contrail cirrus have different radiative properties and affect the evolution of natural cirrus



Summary

Novel statistical cloud scheme for non-convective cirrus clouds formed by homogeneous freezing of supercooled aerosols.

Prognostic approach with separate clear-sky and in-cloud distributions of total water allows existence of thermodynamically metastable states.

Grid-scale sub- and supersaturation, and ice crystal number and size in good agreement with observations.

Main work ahead concerns realisation in an existing GCM cloud scheme, and future couplings with a full ice nucleation scheme and contrail cirrus.