Norway - NRT data

- Components in hourly resolution
 - NO2,
 - NO.
 - PM10.
 - SO2.
 - 03
- Number of sites: 5-10 main southern cities
- NRT: data collected between D-1 18UTC and D 18UTC Collected every 24h.
- Additional request for hourly data for 2003 and 2004
- Compiled by NILU and available on internet. NOTE also EUSAAR
- Once the text of the MOU is agreed, we will proceed with negotiations with NILU and the Environment Department.





EUSAAR

GEMS air quality database Potential sources of observational data and the efforts needed to make them accessible

Kjetil Tørseth and Aasmund Fahre Vik EMEP Chemical Coordinating Centre (EMEP/CCC) Leonor Tarrason (EMEP/MSC-W)

Based on EMEP-CCC presentation at Workshop under the EMEP Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollutants Washington DC. 30. – 31. January 2006





GEMS ASSEMBLY 2006

Key points

- Large regional differences in the availability of observations (site density, parameters, representativity)
- Data quality and intercomparability a major issue
- Many processes towards data integration in progress
- Monitoring getting more complex and sophisticated
- Significant additional information from dedicated research campaigns available for process studies. New capabilities emerging (flux-based monitoring LIDAR- satellite rs.....)
- Snapshot databasing is "easy" long term integration is extremely challenging
- Rapid development (past and future monitoring capacities, capacity building etc....).





Specific considerations for a Snapshot database

- Make use of long-term monitoring efforts (programmes)
- National involvement
- Quality assurance and intercomparability across networks
- Rational data archival
- Make use of existing "snapshot" databases (RETRO, TRADEOFF, QUANTIFY, AEROCOM, others) Link to GEMS AER and GRG databases
- Combine in-situ, vertical profiles, aircraft, satellite, multimedia
- Build up towards NRT-data provision for chemical data assimilation



Types of data

Surface in-situ

Air Quality networks

Human exposure focus (high conc.), incomplete list of parameters for process understanding, site representativity highly variable, many sites

Background chemical composition networks

S-R relationships, trends, international framework

Supersites

process oriented, more complex to assess, often limited access

Vertical in-situ

Balloon soundings free troposphere, stratosphere Aircraft based mainly free troposhere (commercial aircrafts and campaigns)

Remote sensing (active or passive), vertical profiles, total coloumn **Surface based Aircraft based Satellite based**

Multi-media concentrations and bi-directional fluxes biota, water, soil, ice



Quality assurance and quality control issues

Methodology Intercomparisons (laboratory, field) Site Audits Data quality objectives Data flagging Joint assessment of data (observation based or model based)

Fairly well developed collaboration between the regional chemical composition networks (EMEP, CAPMON, NADP, GAW, EANET...).

The WMO-GAW has played a very important role through the (SAGs, WCCs, SOPs, DQOs, flags...)





AEROCOM (from Michael Schultz)

AERONET sun photometer network --->AERONET website contact Stefan Kinne @ dkrz - . - de

GAW network

The World Data Centre for Aerosols is one of five recognised World Data Centres which are part of the Global Atmosphere Watch website contact Julian Wilson @ irc - it





From R. Scheffe











Europe

More than 40 different European projects ~ 25 ongoing, ~ 15 archive data or web projects, Data from 1972 --> present ~ 14 - 15 data series longer than 10 year ~ 25 new projects after 2000

The data can be grouped in:, 7 sets of campaign data, 6 sets of forecast data, 28 sets of national data, 10 sets of international data (some of the sets are appearing in more than one group);

32 Air Quality, 11 Meteorology, 6 Troposheric chemistry, 3 Stratospheric, 6 Aerosol, 6 Heavy Metals, 4 POPs

9 databases includes global sites, 33 include regional sites and 26 include urban sites







Aircraft data

ACE-Asia, TRACE-P, ITCT, ICARTT, Mozaic/IAGOS, CARIBIC,





COMPONEN	т		90	91	92	93	94	95	96	9	7 98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	1	5 16	17	18	19	20
Non-Satellite Global			-	1		-	+			1	-	T	+	1	-		T		1	1	1	-		1	Ť.	Ť.	1	+	1	1			
Surface total column Dobso	an c	C, P			1	1			-																								
Surface total column Brewe	er (C, P			10								4	1					-	11		ŵ.	-	-									1
Surface-based vertical prof	ile Morowave	Ρ	2000	m	mm	ann	mm	m	mm		annan a	2	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Surface-based Lidar profile		P		m	anan a		and the	ann	ana a		aaaa	7		-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	11	-
Balloon vertical profile		P		-	1	-	-		-	-		-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	1	-		-			-
<u>Aircraft</u>				1						1			1															1					
MOZAIC		LS					9777	111	in na	inn.	anna an	1	-	-		-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
NOXAR	L	LS						211	in m	2																							
CARIBIC		LS	_			_				277	mm	mm	nin i	innin 1	mm	2	7777	mm		inni		mm		mn	m		m	Z					
Satellite					-	1		1		1										1					1	1		1			1		
NIMBUS 7/TOMS 79	(с		inn	mm																				1								
ERBS SAGE-II 84		P				-	-				-	-		- 10	-	-																	
UARS MLS 91	1	P		7777	mm	m	inn	m	mm	im	mm	inn	mm	inn	mm	2																	
UARS HALOE 91		P		7777	inni	m	inn	in n	inn	in	anan	inn	inn	dinal.	inni	inna	and	man	ann	2						1							
METEOR TOMS 92	(¢			m	inn	inn	2													_				_								
SPOT3/4 POAM II/III		P			1	777	in m	mn	mm	22	111	àna	nin i	innin	inn	m	m	in m	m	2													
ERS GOME-1 95	(C, P						7/1	ana an	inn.	minni	inni.	tun 1	anna	uun	inni	1111	in and	ann														
EP TOMS 96	(¢					1				-		+	-	-	-	-	-	1	1					10							1	
OD IN SMR	1	P		1		1								1000	m	m		mm	m														
OD IN OSIRIS		P				1								1.0				mm															
ENVISAT MIPAS 02	1	P																mm								1							
ENVISAT GOMOS 02	1	P													m	mm		mm	mm	2													
ENVISATISCIAMACHY 02	(С, Р													1111	min		mm	unn	2													
METEOR 3M SAGE-11102	1	P			1										m	hann										1							
AQUA AIRS 02	(¢														innin (1111	iinnii	maa		2							G	AC	0			
ADEOS 2 ILAS 03		P																		1									RATED G			1	1
AURA HRLDS 04	1	P																in na	m	mm	m	inni	2				ATMO		IC CHEN IONS TH	ISTRY		I(-	_
AURA MLS 04		P					1										7777	mm	in na	mm	in m	m	2			10-							l Global (
AURA OMI 04	(С, Р															7777	anna a	ma	mm	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	in an	2				- 1	1				Integrate	I GIODAI C
AURA TES 04		С, Р									_							ana an	in an	anna a	ana	in na	2				6		X				
METOP 1, 2, 3 GOME-2 05		c																	_														
METOP IASI 05		c																	-							-	Fort	he Mo	nitoring	of our i	invir <mark>onr</mark>	nent fro	m Spac
NOAAHIRS		c			1		-		-				-	4		1	-			-									2	1			
NOAA SBUY-2		С, Р											-																				4
NPOESS OMPS 10		С, Р									1		1					1						11		1							
NPP OMPS 10		с, р			-											1		-								1							
SCISATACE 03		P																		-													Typet
SCISAT MAESTRO 03		P															1111	mm	m	2							-						1
C	DEMONSTRA PRE-OPERA OPERATIONA PROPOSED	TIONA	L		availa availa						irepla	cem	ent g	uarani	teed	byag	enc	y	C = c P= p T=tr	S: up olum rofile oposj ratos	n pher	e	lowe	r atra	t.								Sept

Figure 4.1. An overview of satellite, ground-based and aircraft meaasurements for tropospheric O_3

September 2004 An international partnership for cooperation in Earth observations Data availability

Emphasis on the use of "public" data Data aggregation level

Time resolution of individual observations or integrated to daily, weekly, monthly

Database issues

Data exchange formates

Meta-database harmonisation

Access restrictions



Organising common use of observations

- All modellers download data from an agreed list of data centres
 - Advantage: Easy to set up
 - Drawback: Lot of work and duplication of work for model groups
- All needed data are downloaded to a central archive "SNAPSHOT"
 - Advantage: Easy to find data
 - Disadvantage: Data may become outdated. Little control of metadata and data formats
- Virtual database with data in common formats
 - Advantage: Easy to use data. More control of metadata. Data updated directly from data providers
 - Disadvantage: More work for holder of data archive.



Proposed solution GEMS SNAPSHOT database for 2003-2004

- Collection of all data to be used in a common database at ECMWF
- Proper data version control
- Data should be reformatted to a standardized format by ECMWF. Extended BUFR, netCDF. Multiple formats still allowed ?
- Database should be searchable and available through web browser
- Metadata on data availability to be extracted for model sampling



Questions for discussion

What is the main purpose of the GEMS database for 2003-2004?

Callibration of skillscores – need to include data use in the NRT data assimilation Evaluation of skill for additional data to be assimilated Model evaluation

Which data should we compiled depends on this purpose. How do we proceed to compile this data ?

AEROCOM GEMS AER and GRC NRT for callibration of skillscores Compile a set of observations from "suitable" sites (outflow, inflow, regional representative, known quality, completeness of parameters)

What is the proposed structure of the "snapshot" data base ?

Does everybody agree to the extended BUFR format?



Documentation available

EMEP/CCC-reports 2005 EMEP monitoring strategy IGACO strategy report GCOS 2nd report **GMES-GATO** report EANET Data Report on the 'Acid Deposition in the East Asian Region" ACCENT meta-database print-out AMBIO special issue "International and National abatement strategies for transboundary air pollution" GEOSS 10yr implementation plan NARSTO assessment on PM EPA reports; "The particle pollution report" - "the EPA PM research program" -"The ozone report" PROMOTE; "Protocol monitoring for the GMES service element for atmosphere" EC-JRC; "Atmospheric chemistry research in the new EU countries" CAPACITY – "Operational Atmospheric Chemistry Monitoring Missions"

