GEMS RAQ WP4.3

Statistical post-treatment of forecast

- In WP 4.1 skill scores for error characterisation are defined
- \Rightarrow from the hourly to daily basis
- \Rightarrow site by site (where surface stations are located)

- In WP 4.3 we are interested in spatio-temporal correlations in model errors
- \Rightarrow Produce **analysis**
- \Rightarrow Prepare ensemble modelling (WP4.4)

Prepare data assimilation (WP2.3)?

example of the PREV'AIR analysis

Analysis deals with D-1 and D+0 ozone peak Model:

- CFM = France CTM, Gaseous
- Modelled peak ozone concentrations corrected with observations: Kriging method (daily updating, homogen, isotrope)

Outputs:

- Ozone peak concentration

July 31, 2004

Daily data





PM10 analysis : feasability study

- 15/06/2003-15/09/2003
- PM10 daily mean concentrations
- PM10 measurement stations:
 - Rural (green, 5)
 - Suburban (blue, 24 out of 34)
 - Urban (black, 40 out of 150)



Station type	Mm	Nobs	BAa	BAm	RMSEa	RMSEm	Corra	Corrm	
Rural	14.1	365	-0.1	-8.3	9.2	12.9	0.65	0.57	
Suburban	13.7	2148	1.2	-6.8	6.1	10.2	0.81	0.66	Cross validation
Urban	12.0	3540	-0.8	-9.3	7.1	12.4	0.75	0.67	J
Suburban	14.0	1714	1.6	-4.8	7.7	9.1	0.69	0.62	Verification
Urban	13.9	9682	0.0	-9.0	5.9	12.0	0.84	0.68	

What is our goal in GEMS framework for WP 4.3?

 \rightarrow To build a common analysis tool ?

How to build analysis ?

Merge observations and simulations using *Krigging* methods :

 \rightarrow Correction of simulation by innovations

→ need to know the *model error covariance matrix (B)*

main problem: how to assess it ?

→ need to model the *error covariance matrix*

Modelling spatial error correlation: an example Blond et Vautard, JGR,2004 •Spatial error correlation= f(distance between points) ? **07 UTC** OZONE Weak distance correlation? Correlation des innovation Long distance + Toutes les correlations \rightarrow weak correlation? • URB-URB URB-RUR RUR-RUR 100 150 Distance [km]

• Spatial error correlation = f(concentration's correlation) ?





Some thoughts about error characterisation in regional air quality models How are model errors on surface ozone spatially (2D) correlated (other species)?

How are they correlated in the vertical ?

How are they correlated temporally, i.e. is there a correlation length in time

How are they correlated between different models ? → Ensemble modelling (WP4.4) (1) Spatial correlation of errors in models: horizontally

How to assess it?

Which datas for which methodology?

(2) Spatial correlation of errors in models: horizontally

Ground stations: (Ozone, others ...??)

Which stations? EMEP, Air quality networks, others... (link to WP4.1)

- availibility (autorisation, timing: real-time or not)
- number of stations and horizontal repartition of stations
 - → some regions could be over-represented some other under-represented (clustering effects ...)
- quality of datas (differences between validated and near real time datas)
- **representativity** of stations: problems of status (urban, peri-urban, ...), model resolution and representativity.

(3) Spatial correlation of errors in models: horizontally

Satellite : (Ozone)

What is available? GOME, SCHIAMACHY, TESS, IASI, OMI ?

What are the problems? No sensivity to surface concentrations \rightarrow dead-end?

Other species: $NO_2 \rightarrow Useful for source inversion$

Probably to early \rightarrow more direct applications for inversion and assimilation and for large-scale models.

(4) Spatial correlation of errors in models: horizontally

Methodological concerns :

How to build error correlation (technically speaking with available datas)?

Identification (determination) of most important correlation criterium → distances? concentrations? (c.f. example *Blond et Vautard, JGR,2004*)

what are appropriate technics: Krigging (others) ? , variograms?

(4) Spatial correlation of errors in models: vertically

Purpose: caracterisation of fully 3D model error

Which datas?

- Use MOZAIC vertical profiles (O3, CO) to assess vertical correlations of model errors
 - → is ozone error at different altitudes in free troposphere , in the boundary layer correlated (i.e. PBL height should be included in analysis?)

Planned work:

 Evaluate possibility of error correlation at different sounding sites, but only few are available

Definition of a well documented (does it exist?) area for such study.

 → Important to prepare assimilation of lower tropospheric ozone data What is the lowest information we could get from satellite (for O₃)? Is it relevant? → study the impact of free trop. O3 on the budget of surface concentrations

Temporal correlation of errors in models

What is governing it?

- \rightarrow depending on time scale of synoptical situation
- → depending on seasonal characteristics
 (boundary conditions, surface characteristics)

Is it necessary?

Importance of the error propagation with time ?

 \rightarrow Prblms of the technics \rightarrow OI, Kalman, ensemble

Example of forecast error propagation



Courtesy : Nadège Blond (LVI, Strasbourg)

Model error cross correlation (1)

(introduction to ensemble modelling WP4.4)

What could be sources of model error cross correlation ? Can we identify sources? Is it meaningfull?

Errors mostly driven by forcings (≠ meteorological chaotic system) → are differences between models = differences between *Emissions? meteorological forcing? chemical forcings?* others ...

Is there appropriate experiences to address these questions?

- \rightarrow experiences of passiv tracers
- \rightarrow experiences with common emissions
- \rightarrow experiences with same climatological forcings

What can be drawn from that?

- \rightarrow identification of common biases
- → building « mean » or « best » forecast? → *Ensemble modelling*

Some more thoughts about ensemble modelling ...

Different model forecasts are merged by giving them a weight ~ 1 / σ^{2} . But, how to assess σ^{2} ? ... *back to observations* ...

- on which timescale : for past season or daily update ?
- → there, study on error correlation length (in time) is needed. Is error advected with time ? → need the use of Kalman filtering technics (i.e transport of error covariance matrix)? or can the problem be simplified after analysis time scale length of the error?
- on which spatial scale : same prblms previously mentionned
- → model errors will vary in space (and moreover with the horizontal resolution) but limited and non-homogeneous informations are provided by surface stations and satellite are of limited use for the surface. Can we, at least define a well documented area of study?

how to formally assess this question ?

these are only some thoughts to trigger discussion