GEMS WP_GRG observational data

Progress report

Workpackages in GRG

- Assimilation of gas-phase chemical species in the stratosphere and troposphere
- Implementation of state-of-the-art global chemistry transport models in the ECMWF operational system
- 3. Development of Prototype User Services
- 4. Evaluation of reanalysis simulations

WP 4 - Evaluation of reanalysis simulations Tasks: (LA, SA)

- 1. Inventory of community-accessible data sets
- 2. Definition of parameters for model evaluation
- 3. Preliminary evaluation of reanalysis runs
- 4. Definition and preparation of case studies

WP 4 - Evaluation of reanalysis simulations Milestones and expected results:

- Month 3: Contacts with representatives of observation networks established
- Month 6: Inventory of community-available surface and in-situ datasets compiled
- Month 12: Compiled datasets for selected species/stations available and quality checked, Methodologies for model validation available, Preliminary model outputs available (from WP_GRG_2).
- Month 18: Case studies defined,

preliminary evaluation of first reanalysis runs available

WP 4 - Inventory of community-accessible data sets Tasks: (LA, SA) Excel Table completed by C. Textor and JP Cammas

Aircraft

- a. MOZAIC (Cammas, data protocol, NETCDF, BUFR)
- b. CONTRACE 2 (Cammas/Huntrieser, data protocol, NETCDF)
- c. Other aircraft campaigns ?: list of other campaigns (ACCENT-T&TP: NARE, TRACE-P, NOXAR, TRADEOFF, SPURT, CRYSTAL-FACE, ICARTT, ... (SA-UPMC / Cammas)
- d. O3 Airborne Dial (Flentje/Ehret, data protocol, NETCDF)

WP 4 - Inventory of community-accessible data sets Tasks: (LA, SA)

Ground-based stations

- a. WMO/GAW WDCGG (Berresheim/Flentje, data protocol, ASCII)
- b. WMO/GAW WOUDC (Berresheim/Flentje, data protocol, ASCII)
- c. CMDL (Granier/SA-UPMC)

WP 4 - Inventory of community-accessible data sets Tasks: (LA, SA)

Sondes

- a. SHADOZ (Cammas/Thompson, data protocol, NETCDF)
- b. NDSC O3 sondes (Claude/Kurylo&Braathen, data protocol, NETCDF)
- c. NKUA Lidar/Sondes (Melas, ?)

WP 4 - Inventory of community-accessible data sets Tasks: (LA, SA)

Selected super sites

a. Hohenpeissenberg (Berresheim, ASCII)

Satellite data

a. MOPITT CO (Granier, NETCDF, HDF)

WP GRG_4: Strategies of model validation Model outputs & Parameters for model evaluation

Model outputs:

- Standard evaluation: Three-hourly files: NETCDF, GRIB
 Specifications of output and NETCDF format to be adapted from the RETRO project
- **More rigorous evaluation**: Instantaneous fields interpolated at each simulation time step to all coinciding observations: NETCDF files

Parameters for model evaluation:

• Meteorological + tracer & chemical fields (O3, CO, NO, NO2, NOy, ...)

WP GRG_4: Strategies of model validation Model outputs

NETCDF format for model ouput from **RETRO**:

- **File 1:** Model horizontal grid definition (longitude, latitude, size of gridbox). Documentation: native vertical grid definition from model (hybrid level coefficients), formula used to calculate pressure
- **File 2:** 3D field of monthly model pressure (Pa) and temperature (K)
- File 3: 3D monthly mean fields for O3, CO, CH4, NO, NO2, OH, H2O, HNO3, ...
- File 4: Daily 10:30 local time tropospheric columns
- File 5: Daily 10:30 local time 3D fields
- File 6: 2D monthly dry and wet deposition fields
- File 9: Monthly ozone budgets including chemical production and destruction, stratospheric influx and surface deposition
- File 11: Daily ozone columns
- File 12: Surface station data
- File 13: Profile data
- File 14: TRADEOFF campaign data

WP GRG_4: Strategies of model validation Evaluation methods

Metrics

- **General existing metrics :** NRMSE, absolute correlations, anomaly correlations, tracer correlations, ...
- Additional metrics: Taylor Diagrams (TRADEOFF, RETRO, AEROCOM)
- Brunner et al. An evaluation of the performance of chemistry transport models by comparison with research aircraft observations. Part 1: Concepts and overall model performance *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, Vol. 3, pp 1609-1631, 2003.
- Brunner et al. An evaluation of the performance of chemistry transport models by comparison with research aircraft observations. Part 2: Detailed comparison with two selected campaigns *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, Vol. 5, pp107-129, 2005.

WP GRG_4: Strategies of model validation Objectives of validation

Objectives

- Seasonal cycles (UT&LS, PBL, regions)
- Tropopause height and vertical gradients
- Tropospheric columns (O3, CO, ...)
- Stratospheric intrusion and PBL contents
- Budgets (STE, photochemistry, deposition)

Examples & case studies from MOZAIC

Summer 2003 Heat Wave in Frankfurt: MOZAIC observations



M. Tressol, Ph.D. thesis

Summer 2003 Heat Wave in Frankfurt: Deviations from the MOZAIC climatology



Boundary layer air composition in Frankfurt: Contribution of air masses affected by biomassfires over Portugal

Flexpart calculations

M. Tressol, Ph.D. thesis



Summer 2003 Heat Wave in Frankfurt: MOZAIC observations



M. Tressol, Ph.D. thesis

WP GRG_4: Evaluation of performance of CTM with Taylor Diagrams



Fig.3. Geometric relationship in a Taylor diagram between the correlation coefficient *R*, the root mean square (RMS) error E' and the standard deviations of the test field σ_f and reference field σ_r , respectively.

WP GRG_4: Evaluation of performance of CTM with Taylor Diagrams



Fig. 6. Taylor diagrams of model performance for the PEM-Tropics A campaign. a) All measurements at p <400 hPa and between 0 and 35° S latitude (same data as in Fig. 2), b) flights 5–10 over eastern South Pacific only, c) flights 15–18 over western South Pacific only, d) vertical profiles at Tahiti (same data as in Fig. 3). All points of a given model are represented by a specific label: T3=TM3, C1=CTM-2 version 1, TC=TOMCAT, and LZ=LMDz-INCA. Different colors indicate different tracers (see legend at the bottom). Grey contours are isolines of model skill as defined in Eq. (1).