

Short-Range Ensemble Prediction Systems at the Met Office

MOGREPS – Met Office Global and Regional Ensemble Prediction System

Ken Mylne, Neill Bowler, Alberto Arribas and Sarah John

Ensemble Forecasting Research

Thanks also to: Kelvyn Robertson, Dave Goddard, Tim Legg, Ian Pearman, Clare Bysouth, Paul Maisey and many others!

MOGREPS – The new Met Office short-range EPS

NAF

- Ensemble designed for short-range
 - Regional ensemble over N.
 Atlantic and Europe (NAE)
 - Nested within global ensemble
 - ETKF perturbations
 - Stochastic physics
 - T+72 global, T+36 regional
 - Aim to assess uncertainty in short-range, eg.:
 - Rapid cyclogenesis
 - Local details (wind etc)
 - Precipitation
 - Fog and cloud





MOGREPS is on Operational Trial for 1

year from September 2005



ETKF Initial Condition Perturbations

- ETKF Simplified version of Ensemble Kalman Filter
- ETKF similar to Error Breeding
 - Perturbations are linear combination of forecast perturbations from previous cycle, formed by matrix transformation
 - Transforms calculated using same set of observations as used in 4D-Var (including all satellite obs) within +/- 3 hours of data time



Met Office

 $\mathbf{X}^a = \mathbf{X}^f \mathbf{T}$

ETKF Initial Condition Perturbations

- ETKF Simplified version of Ensemble Kalman Filter
 - Cannot update mean state covariance information only
 - Perturbations are added to 4D/3D Var analysis



Met Office



Stochastic physics for the UM



MOGREPS employs three schemes to address different sources of model error:

- Stochastic Convective Vorticity (SCV)
 - Unresolved impact of organised convection (MCSs)
 - Not used in the higher resolution regional ensemble
- Random Parameters (RP)
 - Structural error due to approximations in parameterisation
- Stochastic Kinetic Energy Backscatter (SKEB)
 - Excess dissipation of energy at small scales
 - SKEB not yet implemented

Impact is propagated to next cycle through the ETKF

Stochastic schemes for the UM

The Random Parameters (Arribas, 2004)

- All parameterizations include a number of empiricaladjustable parameters and thresholds (with somewhat arbitrary values!)
- These parameters are treated as stochastic variables, and, each 3-h, their values are calculated using a firstorder auto regression model:

$$P_t = \mu + r(P_{t-1} - \mu) + \varepsilon$$
 with $r = 0.95$

Same value at all grid points (i.e. spatial corr. = 1)

Stochastic scheme for the UM



The Random Parameters

Parameter	Scheme	min/std/Max
Entraiment rate	CONVECTION	2/3/5
Cape timescale	CONVECTION	30 / 30 / 120
Rhcrit	LRG. S. CLOUD	0.6 / 0.8 / 0.9
Cloud to rain (land)	LRG. S. CLOUD	1E-4/8E-4/1E-3
Cloud to rain (sea)	LRG. S. CLOUD	5E-5/2E-4/5E-4
Ice fall	LRG. S. CLOUD	17 / 25.2 / 33
Flux profile param.	BOUNDARY L.	5 / 10 / 20
Neutral mixing length	BOUNDARY L.	0.05 / 0.15 / 0.5
Gravity wave const.	GRAVITY W.D.	1E-4/7E-4/7.5E-4
Froude number	GRAVITY W.D.	2/2/4

Stochastic schemes for the UM

The SCV component



In the SCV scheme the PV dipole is formed by two vortices which scales are determined by a randomised function

Met Office

RP+SCV in **MOGREPS**



Covered in previous MOSAC – sample of impact

2004012700Z - T+72





Stochastic Kinetic Energy Backscatter (Arribas and Shutts, 2005)

- Aim: To backscatter (stochastically) into the forecast model some of the energy excessively dissipated by it at scales near the truncation limit. (similar to ECMWF's CASBS by Shutts)
- A total dissipation of 0.75 Wm-2 has been estimated from the Semi-lagrangian and Horizontal diffusion schemes.
- Each member of the ensemble is perturbed by a different realization of this backscatter forcing





Backscatter forcing:

$$F_{\psi} = \frac{\alpha \cdot KE \cdot R(\lambda, \phi) \sqrt{D}}{\Delta \tau}$$

- α .- Tunable amount of energy feedback
- KE.- Kinetic Energy
- R.- Random field
- D.- Dissipation rate
- $\Delta \tau$.- Time-step

 $R(\lambda,\phi) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{3D random pattern in which} \\ \text{horizontal, vertical and temporal} \\ \text{correlations can be imposed to} \\ \text{reproduce CRM statistics} \end{array}$



Aspect of the forcing: u incr. at 500 hPa





Spectra of the forcing (KE at 500 hPa) – agrees with spectra from CRM studies (Shutts)





Preliminary results:

Positive increase in spread (comparable to that seen at ECMWF)

Increase in spread respect to an IC-only ensemble

500 hPa geopotential height



Met Office

SKEB

Met Office

100

1 ayc 16

Preliminary results:

Better representation of forecast spectra





Page 17





Example MOGREPS 36h Rainfall forecast





© Crown copyright 2005

Example MOGREPS 33h 10m WS forecast



















































© Crown copyright 2005

ECMWF Operations Workshop, 14-18 November 2005

Page 32



© Crown copyright 2005

NAE T+36 forecast for 06Z on 19/10/05

Note extra detail and deeper lows in NAE



© Crown copyright 2005

ECMWF Operations Workshop, 14-18 November 2005

Met Office

Global T+42 forecast for 06Z on 19/10/05 Met Office Note extra detail and deeper lows in NAE DT 12Z on 17/10/2005 VT 06Z on 19/10/2005 PMSL (hPa) MOGREPS (Global) T+42h Control Member Member Member 3 Member 4 Member 5 1008 \frown Member 6 Member 7 Member 8 Member 9 Member 10 Member 11 Member 14 Member 12 Member 13 mber 15 Member 16 Member 17 Member 18 Member 19 Member 20 Mem er 21 Member 22 Member 23 0

© Crown copyright 2005

NAE T+36 forecast for 06Z on 19/10/05

Note extra detail and deeper lows in NAE



© Crown copyright 2005

ECMWF Operations Workshop, 14-18 November 2005

Met Office
MOGREPS Site-specific forecasts



EPS Meteogram

MOGREPS Plume



MOGREPS Global EPS Meteogram EXETER HQ SITE (99085) 50.7° N 3.5° W RAW - EPS Forecasts : 27 July 2005 00 UTC









© Crown copyright 2005



Key for wind direction & speed on windrose only:

30 degree sectors (DOD-030, 030-060,...,330-360) innermost circle only for wind direction outer circles are divided into 5 knot bands, with wind speed increasing outwards

(eg. 0-5kt,5-10kt,10-15kt,15-20kt)

1% to 10% probability =	50% to 60% probability =	
10% to 20% probability =	60% to 70% probability =	
20% to 30% probability =	70% to 80% probability =	
30% to 40% probability =	80% to 90% probability =	
40% to 50% probability =	90% to 100% probability =	

NO COLOUR KEY FOR BAR CHART

GLENS 2005090800 Station: 99085



ECMWF Operations Workshop, 14-18 November 2005

© Crown copyright 2005

12

0

0

72

60

48



24

36

Lead Time (h)

Spread growth is slower than error growth

SKEB should improve this

Global MOGREPS Performance

 Spread optimised by variable inflation factor against observations in *u*, *v*, *T* and *RH* at T+12

> Appears too large because verified against analysis

Spread and RMSE for 500hPa GPH



Global MOGREPS Performance



- Rank histogram is encouragingly flat
 Close to ideal
- Suggests that ETKF perturbations are representative of genuine analysis errors
- RH for shorter lead-times is peaked in middle
 - Suggests overspread, but...
 - Probably due to verifying against analyses





Katrina – from "operational" system





Katrina – NHC warning





Page 42



- Verification to date is very basic
 Verification is being implemented within the Area-based Verification system (ABV) and Site-specific Verification system (SBV)
- Daily assessment is being carried out by Ops Centre forecasters and research staff
 - Fixed sets of questions
 - Initial forecaster response is enthusiastic and very positive



- ETKF is believed to be well-suited to shortrange ensemble, but...
 - Singular vectors perform very well at ECMWF
 - Coutinho and Hoskins showed benefit of moist SVs with shorter optimisation time
- Following idea of Hoskins to use a very small SV-perturbed ensemble to assess possible extreme deviations from ensemble mean
 - Capability to calculate dry or moist SVs from DA team
 - Future possibility that forecasters could choose a target area around a feature of concern

Singular Vector at final time (T+24)



0.325

-

0.325

0.325

0.055



© Crown copyright 2005

ECMWF Operations Workshop, 14-18 November 2005

First SV perturbed forecast (N48 resolution)











24h forecast perturbed with SVs at N48

- N216 is good forecast
- Control lacks deep centre
- -SV2 better; +SV2 deepens other centre
- SV3 similar impacts
- Dry and Moist similar in this case

First SV perturbed forecast (N48 resolution)

- Early 24h forecast perturbed with dry SV at N48
 - N216 is good forecast
 - Control lacks deep centre
 - -SV2 much better
 - ■+SV2 deepens other low centre





ECMWF Operations Workshop, 14-18 November 2005

12

Met Office

MOGREPS Status



- MOGREPS started operational trials in September
 - Trials scheduled to run for 12 months
 - Objective verification and forecaster assessment
- Further science upgrades planned
 - SKEB
 - Local ETKF and Regional perturbations for NAE
- Global MOGREPS being implemented at ECMWF to run to 15 days for THORPEX/TIGGE
 - Initial conditions supplied from Exeter
- MOGREPS cannot yet be used operationally
 - Could be operational later in 2006/07 subject to satisfactory performance in trial

Any questions?