Probabilistic early warnings of severe weather based on the EPS

Ken Mylne and Tim Legg, Met Office

EPS background

Met Office Previn system provides forecasters with many ensemble products.





And they do use them! Deputy Chief forecaster, NMC Exeter

The challenge of predicting hazardous weather

Severe weather prediction is difficult because:

- Model may not resolve severity of event
 - Limited model climatology
- · Development often involves interaction of several elements
 - interactions are non-linear
 - elements are often small-scale (poorly resolved)
 - each element may be climatologically extreme difficult
- Need to get all these right in combination
 - all sensitive to small errors so chance of deterministic success is low

Predictability of extreme events

• Hence 'arbitrary changes to the trajectory of a system leading to an extreme event are likely to moderate (and not intensify) the extreme' *Zhu and Toth* (2001)

Ensembles for severe weather ensembles should be ideal for severe weather

- full account of non-linearity
- uncertainty in combination of small-scale processes but
- model climatology may exclude extremes
- may require downscaling and calibration









What can we expect of an ensemble in predicting severe weather? - a thought experiment.

Ideally we would like high probabilities (e.g. >50%) – is this likely?

- When atmosphere is synoptically pre-disposed to severe weather (e.g. strong jet-stream or large CAPE) *high probability is possible* at short-range.
 - Possible to issue warnings of severe weather somewhere, but
- How short is short-range?

.

- Strong non-linearity in severe developments.
- Most severe weather is relatively small-scale.
- Local probabilities remain low.

Site-specific probability forecasts

- The Met Office generates site-specific probability forecasts from the EPS (as described at 2001 Operations Workshop)
- How do these perform for severe weather events?

Calibrated probability distribution functions

- · Ensemble members are re-weighted based on rank histogram verification
- Tails are added to increase overall spread



Outliers - 95% confidence temp.

Dark colours forecasters, pale colours EPS. Only after full calibration can EPS compete with forecasters.



Verification - windspeeds at T+72







Reliability diagrams for calibrated windspeed forecasts

. Calibration of winds can improve forecasts at low thresholds but degrades at high thresholds





Brier skill scores for calibrated windspeed forecasts

Calibration of winds improves . forecasts at low thresholds but degrades at high thresholds





First guess early warnings project

Met Office issues Early Warnings up to 5 days in advance – when probability $\geq 60\%$ of, for example: severe gales, heavy rain, heavy snow

- Developed a system to provide forecasters with alerts and guidance from the EPS
- · Verified against short-period, high certainty warnings

Calculation of relevant probs

Grid-point probabilities are usually low



ROC - heavy rain

- Verification is difficult due to small samples, but warnings do have skill in discriminating severe events
 - Skill is best at 4 days
 - Severe gales results similar



Clim. Freq. 0.1329 Issued Prob. 0.0606.

1 1

Reliability 4-day forecasts whole UK

Small samples make verification noisy, but

- There is clearly some probabilistic discrimination & skill
- Reliability is best at low probabilities high probs are rare



Reliability 2 and 4-day heavy rain - whole UK

• 2-day forecasts clearly have no significant discrimination





Reliability 4-day forecasts - heavy rain

- Larger sample size for local regions reduces noise (but note sample is not independent)
- Otherwise performance is similar





Brier skill scores

• Skill scores small but positive.

Left axis: skill relative to 'null' forecasts. Right axis: skill relative to prior sample climatology (small sample)



Verification - relative economic value

- Estimates value of forecasts to users making decisions with different cost-loss ratios C/L
- Relative to value of perfect forecast
- Much value for users with small C/L
 low probabilities



New ideas for calibration

- Calibration of warnings is difficult due to small samples of past cases for tuning
- Model climatology of extremes may be very different from real atmosphere
 - ECMWF uses EFI to relate forecasts to model climatology
- EFI is useful as an alerting system but does not provide probabilities of severe weather
- Can we use EFI climatology to calibrate warning thresholds?

Calibration of warnings using the EFI climatology



Calibration using site climatologies

- Alternative version of Early Warnings system calibrated by relating site climatologies to real site climatologies has been tested
- Results (pale blue on above verification results) are not quite as good as the fully tuned version, but provide a useful first estimate
- This will allow application to any site worldwide and application to any user's required warning thresholds



Empirical Distribution Functions 49N /8.5E

D.,

0.2

Conclusions

- EPS-based warnings have some skill, but only around day 4
 - may be related to SV perturbation strategy at ECMWF
 - lack of spread earlier in forecast?
 - Requires non-linear evolution period?
- High probabilities are rare, as expected
- Forecasters now rely heavily on Ensemble forecasts