Porting and Performance of the Community Climate System Model (CCSM3) on the Cray X1

George R Carr Jr National Center for Atmospheric Science Climate and Global Dynamics Division gcarr@ucar.edu



<u>Co</u>-Authors

- George R Carr Jr, NCAR/CGD
- Matthew J Cordery, Cray
- Ilene L Carpenter, SGI (formerly Cray)
- John B. Drake, ORNL
- Michael W Ham, ORNL
- Forrest M Hoffman, ORNL
- Patrick H. Worley, ORNL
- ... at least another 20 supporters
 - Lawrence Buja, NCAR/CGD



Overview

- CCSM3 Introduction
- Cray X1 Introduction and Status
- An Orientation on Performance
- Some Results
- Future Activities



CCSM Introduction

- CCSM, the Community Climate System Model is a coupled model for simulating the earth's climate system.
 - Developed at NCAR with significant collaborations with DOE, NASA and the university community
- Components in CCSM3 include
 - Atmospheric Model CAM 3.0
 - Ocean Model modified version of POP 1.4.3
 - Sea Ice Model CSIM5
 - Land Model CLM2
 - Coupler CPL6





Supported Machines

- IBM P3, P4 Cat 1
- SGI Origin Cat 2
- Xeon Linux Clusters (GigE and Myrinet) recently validated T31, will be Cat 1
- Cray X1 recently validated T31, will be Cat 1
- SGI Altix Ready for T31 Validation
- Earth Simulator Validated on Pre-release
- Opteron Linux Clusters (Myrinet) work begun
- Xeon Linux Clusters (InfiniBand) work begun
 See CCSM support URL for changes







<u>Multistreaming Processor</u>







- **SSP Single-Streaming Processor**
 - •Two vector pipe units

=

•One 4-way superscalar processor



Cray X1 Processor Node Module





<u>Approximate Timelines</u>

- => December 2003:
 - Component model vectorization
- => April, 2004:
 - Merge of vector versions into development branch, including basic support for the X1
 - CAM/CLM2 standalone model (spectral Eulerian dycore) validated on the Earth Simulator and X1
- => June, 2004:
 - CCSM validated on Earth Simulator and achieves required percentage of vectorization
 - CCSM3 released, including basic support for X1



<u>Current X1 Project Highlights</u>

- T31x3 Climate Validation Completed
- Choice of MSP (MPI only) orientation at this time due to OMP restrictions with MPMD.
- Some run configuration load balancing
- Regression test process begun
- Some VERY early performance numbers produced
- Functionality NOW, performance soon, portability required



The Good

- Worked through initial problems with
 - Compiler
 - Kernel panic
 - Configuration issues (netcdf)
 - Scripts setup
 - Great support from ORNL and Cray
- All CCSM3 tests pass: T31, T45, and T85
- 75 year T31x3 climate validated



<u>Remaining X1</u> Issues

- Model requires a particular (old) version of system software (compilers and MPI libraries).
- Model time in POP and CSIM4 suddenly becomes corrupted after approximately 10 simulation years.
- Performance variability is being explored.
- Answers change slightly (round-off level) when using dynamic CAM load balancing.
- Some performance timers in coupler are broken.
- Need to harden run scripts for ORNL environment.
- Long term archiving script is not yet set up for ORNL.
- Ice model validation may need to be revisited.
- Production script enhancements needed to speed up build process.



The Production Process

- Compile/Load
- Data Pre-stage startup data files, restart files
- Job startup system load, MPI startup, data ingest, data distribution
- Job (the real work) daily/monthly log entries, monthly results
- Job termination create restart files
- (optional) Short term archive (usually non-scrubbed disk)
- (optional) Long term archive (tape)
- Monitor progress (manual)
- Submit next job (can be automated in run script)



CCSM T85 Data Output

T85 IPCC: 9.6 GBytes/year





IPCC ES Production Summary



Roughly 60 Tbytes of history data produced! At times, could generate data faster than could get it to tape!

Special thanks to Dr. Yoshikatsu Yoshida and all his colleagues of the Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI)



X1 Validation Observation

- Run time variability and average run time
 - T31x3 validation
 - Showed that a perfectly controlled system could run 7-8 seconds per day (on 36 MSPs)
 - One example: mean 12 seconds, range 7 to 46 seconds, mode of 10. Eight hour run on 36 "CPUs"
 - Seen with IBM. Better than Linux clusters tested. Seen on Origin and Altix also.
 - Possible sources
 - System process/processor migration
 - Job impacts by I/O sub-system
 - Timer issues do not seem to be an issue



T31x3 Production Job Log

•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-05 00000s wall (clock 2004-10-06 17:45:08 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-06 00000s wall (clock 2004-10-06 17:45:16 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-07 00000s wall (clock 2004-10-06 17:45:28 avg dt	8s dt	12s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-08 00000s wall (clock 2004-10-06 17:45:36 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-09 00000s wall (clock 2004-10-06 17:45:44 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-10 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:45:52 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-11 00000s wall c	lock 2004-10-06 17:45:59 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-12 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:46:07 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-13 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:46:15 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-14 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:46:23 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-15 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:46:31 avg dt	8s dt	8s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-16 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:47:13 avg dt	8s dt	42s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-17 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:47:27 avg dt	8s dt	14s
•	(tStamp_write) cpl	nodel date 0509-12-18 00000s wall c	:lock 2004-10-06 17:47:35 avg dt	8s dt	8s



Performance: Of Two Minds

- Capability
 - How fast can we run this important job?
 - Can we run this really big problem at all?
- Capacity
 - How much combined work can we get done each day?



Performance: Of Two Minds

- Capability
 - How fast can we run this important job?
 - Can we run this really big problem at all?
- Capacity
 - How much combined work can we get done each day?
 - THIS IS THE ONE THAT DRIVES MF MOST DAYS!



Performance Metrics

- Simulated years per wall clock day
 - Optimize for single job maximum performance
- Simulated years per wall clock day per "cpu"
 - Optimize for system aggregate performance



Raw Performance





Raw Performance vs Efficiency





I/O Issues

- More a CCSM issue than just X1
 - Want to look at I/O cacher options
 - Better overlap I/O and computation
 - Better insulate computation from I/O congestion
 - Better control over log file output
 - Reduction in number of calls and syncs
 - Compile and runtime controls



Real Lights ... I/O Cacher





T31 Performance











T85 Performance





T85 Efficiency





Future Work

- Look at production timing variations ... might be more important than CPU speedups!
- Newer software version (includes newer MPI)
- Pat Worley's CAM dynamic load balancing (fast messaging makes this possible on X1)
- Performance Tuning
 - LND and CPL need attention.
 - Look at latest POP and CAM speedups (CAF issue)
- Some additional load balancing exercises
- T85 Validation
- Full Production



IBM P4 Percent of Peak





Summary

- Significant work completed
- Things yet to do to bring CCSM into production on the X1
- Need to concentrate on production metric of system performance
- Thanks ORNL and Cray for great support
- Thanks ECMWF



Questions

CCSM web pages

- http://www.ccsm.ucar.edu/ccsm3
- http://www.ccsm.ucar.edu/support_model
 - See CCSM User's Guide
 - See Scripts Tutorial
 - Performance and Platform information will be added
- http://www.ccsm.ucar.edu/support_model/mach_support.html
- CCSM Bulletin Board
 - http://bb.cgd.ucar.edu
- · ORNL web
 - http://www.csm.ornl.gov/evaluation/PHOENIX
- gcarr@ucar.edu



Supplemental Charts



CCSM3 Process Flow



The Balancing Act

- Each component has different scaling attributes in part based on different grid sizes
- System architecture/configuration constraints
- No power of 2 performance charts



Load Balancing Example - X1

T31x3	OCN	ATM	ICE	LND	CPL	Tot	Yrs/Day
Case 1	4	16	8	8	4	40	20.76
Case 2	2	16	2	8	8	36	22.12

Case 2 used fewer processors and got better performance



Vectorization Process

- For each component model
 - Port to new (vector) system
 - Optimize performance (including vectorization)
 - Merge subset of modifications back into development trunk
 - Validate/Evaluate updated model on all "category 1" platforms
- For CCSM
 - Import updated component models (lags behind individual)
 - Port and optimize scripts and other CCSM infrastructure to new system
 - Verify that CCSM runs correctly in all required configurations and tests
 - Validate climate produced by CCSM
 - Tune configuration to optimize performance on new system



Merge Guidelines and Process

- Cannot degrade performance significantly on other target systems
 - Allowable degradation depends on perceived importance (availability) of given platform for science.
- Cannot alter solution (bit-for-bit) on other platforms
 - Can be relaxed when climate validation needs to be repeated on other platforms anyway.
- For CAM and CLM, solution must be independent of number of processors (i.e., reproducibility).
- Limited amounts of architecture-dependent code allowed (i.e., no large scale #ifdef NEC/CRAY/IBM sections)
 - This is for code maintainability. What is or is not permitted varies among the CCSM working groups.
- Actual merge process consists of making a proposal to the relevant component Change Review Board, followed by some period of negotiation.

