Variational Data Assimilation Theory and Overview

Florence Rabier

Météo-France

ECMWF Seminar 2003

NWP: from observations and models to weather maps







Data assimilation



Sequential: use observations in small batches, as they become available

Continuous: over a time window, use all observations. Obs at t_2 are used for the analysis between t_0 and t_2 .

Optimisation problem

Need for a statistical approach:

Find the best compromise between various sources of information: observations, background, dynamics/physics of the system

Trust them according to their error statistics

Introduction to 4D-Var

Four-dimensional variational assimilation

(Le Dimet and Talagrand; Lewis and Derber, 1985)

Principles of 4D-VAR assimilation



Assimilation window

Assumption of perfect model: one looks for the starting point of the trajectory

Approximations to 4D-Var: 3D-Var and 3D-FGAT



 3D-Var: One looks for the best compromise between the background field and all available observations as if they were at the analysis time

•3D-FGAT: one compares the model to the observations with no approximation, but performs a 3D analysis

Resolution of the optimisation problem

Minimize the cost function

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{b})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{b})$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}))^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x})),$$

where

- **x** is the vector of atmospheric (model) variables, $(10^7/10^8)$ **x**^b a « background » for the analysis,
- *y* the vector of observations, $(10^6 / 10^7)$
- *H* the observation operator, including the model integration,
- **B** the background error covariance matrix,
- **R** the observation error covariance matrix.

Solution in the linear case

$$\mathbf{x}_{a} = \mathbf{x}_{b} + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_{b})$$

With the gain matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{T} (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{R})^{-}$$

And Analysis error Covariance

 $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{H})\mathbf{B}$

This is the Optimal least-squares estimator minimum variance for the analysis error

Or BLUE= Best Linear Unbiased Estimator

If all errors are Gaussian, then it is also the maximum likelihood estimate

Equivalence with Kalman filter

Optimal sequential assimilation: loop over observation times t_i

Analysis

 $\mathbf{X}_{a}(t_{i}) = \mathbf{X}_{b}(t_{i}) + \mathbf{K}_{i}(\mathbf{y}(t_{i}) - \mathbf{H}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{b}(t_{i}))$

$$\mathbf{K}_{i} = \mathbf{P}_{i}\mathbf{H}_{i}^{T}(\mathbf{H}_{i}\mathbf{P}_{i}\mathbf{H}_{i}^{T} + \mathbf{R}_{i})^{-1}$$

Forecast

$$\mathbf{x}_{b}(t_{i+1}) = M(\mathbf{x}_{a}(t_{i}))$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{i+1} = \mathbf{M}_{i} \mathbf{P}^{a}_{i} \mathbf{M}_{i}^{T}$$

Equivalence with Kalman filter

At the end of the assimilation window, same Optimal analysis obtained by 4D-Var and Kalman filter (equivalent to the Kalman smoother over the whole assimilation window)

Comparison of the model trajectory to observations performed at « the appropriate time »

Full use of the dynamics over the window to update implicit forecast error matrix

Properties of Variational methods

Can be extended to non-Gaussian errors: Var-QC (Lorenc, Andersson)

Can use a wide range of observations including those with a complex link to atmospheric variables (eg radiances, Andersson et al, 1994)

Efficient use of asynoptic data (Järvinen, Andersson)

Practical Implementation

Tangent linear hypothesis

Method can be extended to weakly non-linear problems One needs y-H(M(x)) to be able to be linearized around x_b Y- $H(M(x)) = y-HM(x-x_b) - H(M(x_b)) + second-order terms$

Computing technique: Minimisation

minimisation of the cost-function



 $J = distance to obs and x_b$

• If operators are linear, costfunction is quadratic and minimisation can be carried out efficiently

 One needs several computations of J and its gradient (first derivative)

Computing technique: use of the adjoint

- Variational assimilation needs the adjoint of the operators to compute the gradient of J with respect to the IC
- The adjoint of the forecast model allows to link the gradient at any time to the gradient at the beginning of the assimilation window

$$\begin{array}{c} x_0 \\ \hline \\ \nabla_{x_0} J \end{array} \begin{array}{c} M \\ \hline \\ M \end{array} \begin{array}{c} J = f(x) \\ \hline \\ \nabla_x J \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ derivative of J \end{array} \end{array}$$

« Incremental » formulation

Minimize the cost function

 $J(\delta \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{X} +$ where $\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}^{b}) - \mathbf{H} \delta \mathbf{X})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}^{b}) - \mathbf{H} \delta \mathbf{X})$ $\delta \mathbf{X} \text{ is the vector of } \mathbf{w} \text{ increment } \mathbf{w} \text{ to the background,}$ $\mathbf{H} \text{ is the linearized and simplified observation operator.}$

 $\Rightarrow \delta \mathbf{x}$ can have a lower resolution than \mathbf{x}

(Courtier, Thépaut, Hollingsworth, 1994)

Applications of the incremental technique in an operational context



Illustration: Burgers' model

(Liu, 2002)

Experimental framework

■ 1D circle: Length=8000km. Δx =100km.

Burgers equation: non-linear advection-diffusion

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = v \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

• Background error correlated with $L_b = 208 \text{km}$

Observations available every grid-point, every 6h.



$$\sigma_o = \sigma_b = 2$$

Various VAR experiments











Burgers

 Illustrates the benefit of using 4D-Var in a simple context



Operational results 4D-Var vs 3D-Var

Prior studies in a quasi-operational context

 Zupanski et al, Zou, Huang and Gustafsson: promising results in a limited area model

Thépaut, Rabier et al:

- Very simplified version of linearized physics for TL and AD models
- 4D-Var over 6 and 12h windows better than 3D-Var

Optimisation of 4D-Var on a 6-hr window: implementation at ECMWF

(Rabier et al, 2000; Mahfouf and Rabier, 2000; Klinker et al, 2000)

- Influence of the dynamics
- Impact of linearized physics
- Diagnostics on pre-operational 4D-var

Influence of the dynamics

 Standard baroclinic area in the Atlantic



Influence of the dynamics

- Increments for a single obs
- Dynamics change the increments



Influence of the dynamics

4D-Var

3D-Var



• Better forecasts during FASTEX

Impact of linearized physics in the minimisation

- Better agreement between minimisation and model integrations at full resolution with full physics
- Less spin-down
- Slightly better forecasts



Diagnostics on preoperational results

 Significantly better scores in both hemispheres



Z 500 N HEM and S HEM 9 / 10 / 97 - 17 / 11 / 97

Diagnostics on preoperational results

 Widespread improvement: differences between rms of 24h errors.



Further Operational developments and results

Operational Developments

- Extensions of 4D-Var
- Extract temporal information through the use of frequent data
- Developments in the Jb formulation
- 12-hour 4D-Var
- Better handling of the trajectory
- Multi-incremental
- Initialising with Digital Filter techniques (Gustafsson, Gauthier and Thépaut)
- Continuous improvement of linearized physics

(Järvinen, Andersson) (Derber, Fisher)

(Bouttier)

(Trémolet)

(Veersé and Thépaut)

(Janiskova...)

Results at Météo-France

Re-analysis during FASTEX:
Gain of 3m, 0.6 m/s at 300hPa for the RMS error in Geopotential and wind over Europe at the 72h range

Operational implementation in 2000

(Desroziers, Hello, Thépaut, 2003)

Limitations and Perspectives

Limitations

- Heavy developments (coding of adjoint)
- Limits of the Incremental technique

Perspectives

- Model error to be included
- Combination with more probabilistic techniques (eg Ens Kalman filter)
- Cloud and rain assimilation
- Challenging issues for a higher-resolution analysis using high density satellite data



