The Interpretation of ECMWF NWP Products for Flood and Draught Forecasting in the Middle and Lower Reaches of Yangtse River in China

Jin Ronghua Jinronghua2003@yahoo.com National Meteorological Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, P. R. China



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Data
- 3. Design of prediction scheme
- 4. Diagnostic analysis
- 5. Prediction model framework
- 6. Score
- 7. Conclusion

# Introduction ---Why we do it?

The middle and lower reaches of Yangtze river in China suffers from the flood disaster frequently (for example,flood in1954, 1991, and 1998 etc. and drought in 1965 and 1988).

The flood or drought in the large area is difficult problem in the domain of middle-range weather forecast.

the ECMWF 7-day NWP products possess high quality.

The main object of this work is how to utilize the ECMWF 7day NWP products fully to study and develop the prediction model for the flood and drought of the middle and lower reaches of Yangtze river.



 Data: Element and level: 500hPa geopotential height---H; 850hPa temperature---T

Time: initial time 12:00(UTC) June 1 to July 31  $00h(1986 \sim 1997)$  $00 \sim 168h(1998 \sim 2002)$ 

**Resolution: 5\*5** 

### **Design of prediction scheme**

#### Scheme:

**Double-level multi-factor synthetic analogue** prediction method(DMSAP)



# Predictior

- 1. 500hPa geopotential heights H
- 2. 850hPa temperature T
- 3. Area index of the subtropical high at 500hPa
- 4. Geostrophic momentum transport at 500hPa
- 5. Temperature longitudinal gradient at 850hPa

----the pentad mean value every 5 days

#### predictand

# Predictand: the class of flood and drought during the period of coming 10 day every 5 days



#### **Criterion** of the flood and drought classification

#### in the middle and lower reaches of Yangtse river

Class	$\Delta R < 0$	$\Delta R \leqslant$ -50%	$\Delta R$ =-100%	R≥100mm	R≥200mm	Assessment
	PCT (%)			NUM		
						Terrible
	≥0.80	≥0.40	≥0.11	≪4	≤1	drought
1						
	≥0.80					
	≥0.65	≥0.35		≪4	≤1	
2	0.80>P≥0.55			≤10	≤2	Drought
3	0.80>P≥0.55			≤13	$\leqslant$ 4	Little drought
	0.55>P≥0.45			≤13	$\leqslant 2$	
	0.55>P≥0.45			≤10	≤3	
4	others			11-14	2-6	Little flood
5	<0.55			≥15	≥3	Flood
	<0.55			≥18	$\geq 2$	
6	<0.55			≥18	≥7	Sever flood
	<0.55			≥15	≥10	

PCT: percentage of stations that meet the condition

NUM: number of station that meet the conditon

# **Techniques:** (1) The **analogue** index formula is

$$I_{ij} = r_{ij} \left(1 - \frac{E_{ij}}{n\sigma}\right)$$

where  $E_{ii}$  is the Euclidean distance.

 $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + \sigma_j)$ , where  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_j$  are the mean square error of the prediction field and the historical field respectively. *n* is the negative real value to control the  $E_{ij}$ . *n* in this paper is defined as 15.  $r_{ij}$  is the correlation coefficient. This correlation index possesses the capacities to represent the similar degree in the aspects of not only the number but also the pattern.

#### (2)Diagnostic volume

$$u_g = -\frac{g}{fa}\frac{\partial H}{\partial \varphi}$$

#### Zonal geostrophic wind

$$V_g = -\frac{g}{fa\cos\varphi}\frac{\partial H}{\partial\lambda}$$

longitudinal geostrophic wind

$$u_g v_g$$

Geostophic momentum transport Represent the dynamic status

 $\nabla_y T$ 

Temperature longitudinal gradient Indicate the thermodynamic condition

## **Diagnostic analysis**



the correlation coefficient distribution between the diagnostic volume and the class of flood and drought( $25^{\circ} \sim 45^{\circ}$ N, $75^{\circ} \sim 140^{\circ}$ E) (have pass t test, at 0.05 significance level)

#### **Criterion of the diagnostic volume classification**

			$ abla_{\mathcal{Y}}T$	$ abla_{y}T$
	UgVg	ugvg		·
Grade	(key region 1)	(key region 2)	(key region 3)	(key region 4)
1	>5	<-5	≥4	
2	>5	≥-5	$4 > \nabla_y T > 0$	
3	≪5	≥-5	$\leqslant 0$	≥5
4	≪5	<-5	$\leqslant 0$	

# Statistic of the corresponding flood and drought to various grades of diagnostic volume



#### **Prediction model framework**



Prediction system flow chart



prediction model framework

## Score of the analogue prediction model

S=1-(Co-Cp)\*0.2 Co: observation Cp: prediction 1: 500hPa height 2: Area index 3:  $u_g v_g$ 

4:

 $\nabla_{v}T$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.9\\ 0.85\\ 0.8\\ 0.8\\ 0.75\\ 0.75\\ 0.75\\ 1 2 3 4 \end{array}$ 

SP: synthetic predictionF.TS: TS for the flood predictionD.TS: TS for the drought prediction

# Conclusion

- 1. Good performance
- 2. Contribution of diagnostic volume
- 3. Comparison with single level or single factor prediction
- 4. Improvement

